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Abstract

**Background:** Inherited \textit{BRCA} gene mutations (pathogenic variants) cause 10% of breast cancers. \textit{BRCA} pathogenic variants predispose carriers to triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC); around 30% of patients with TNBC carry \textit{BRCA} pathogenic variants. The 2018 NCCN Guidelines for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian recommend genetic counseling referrals for patients with TNBC diagnosed at age ≤60 years. This study sought to describe genetic counseling referral patterns among long-term TNBC survivors at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. **Methods:** This single-institution retrospective analysis of female long-term (disease-free for ≥5 years) TNBC survivors sought to determine the rate of genetic counseling referral among patients diagnosed at age ≤60 years between 1992 and 2008. Patients who underwent treatment and surveillance visits at our institution and were followed until 2017 were included. We collected \textit{BRCA} pathogenic variant status among tested patients. Descriptive statistical methods and a univariate analysis were used to identify patient characteristics associated with genetic counseling referral. **Results:** We identified 646 female long-term TNBC survivors with a median age at diagnosis of 47 years. Of these, 245 (38%) received a recommendation for a genetic counseling referral. Among those referred, 156 (64%) underwent genetic testing, and 35% of those tested had \textit{BRCA} pathogenic variants. Interestingly, among those referred, 20% declined genetic testing. The rate of genetic referrals improved over time, from 25% among TNBC survivors whose last surveillance visit was between 2011 and 2013 to 100% among those whose last surveillance visit was between 2014 or later. Younger age and premenopausal status at diagnosis and a family history of breast or ovarian cancer were associated with an increased rate of referral for genetic counseling. **Conclusions:** Among long-term TNBC survivors, the rate of referral to genetic counseling increased over time, and among those tested, 35% carried a \textit{BRCA} pathogenic variant. Survivorship care provides an excellent opportunity to refer eligible patients for genetic counseling.


Background

Inherited germline mutations, currently identified in the genetics terminology as pathogenic variants, cause 5% to 10% of breast cancers.\textsuperscript{1} Triple-negative breast cancers (TNBC), which lack expression of estrogen and progesterone receptors and have normal expression of HER2, account for 10% to 17% of all invasive breast cancers.\textsuperscript{2} Up to 30% of women who have a diagnosis of TNBC carry a \textit{BRCA} gene pathogenic variant,\textsuperscript{3,4} and this phenomenon is particularly more predominant among those who harbor a \textit{BRCA1} pathogenic variant compared with \textit{BRCA2} carriers who do not have...
the same excess risk of developing TNBC.\textsuperscript{5} As many as 57\% of breast cancers diagnosed in women who carry a deleterious \textit{BRCA1} pathogenic variant are of the TNBC subtype.\textsuperscript{6} Women who have a deleterious \textit{BRCA1} or \textit{BRCA2} germline pathogenic variant not only have a high risk of developing a second primary breast cancer (50\% at 25 years) but also have a 40\% lifetime risk of developing ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{7} Additionally, prostate and pancreatic cancers have been reported at higher rates in \textit{BRCA} pathogenic variant carriers.\textsuperscript{8} Hence, the importance of a timely diagnosis of these germline pathogenic variants cannot be overemphasized because preventive measures for patients or their families can be applied.

National guidelines regarding referral to genetic counseling and testing for patients with cancer have evolved over time. The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast and Ovarian, among other established criteria, recommend that women with a history of TNBC at age ≤60 years receive a referral for genetic counseling with possible genetic testing.\textsuperscript{9} These referral criteria were initially published by NCCN in May 2010.\textsuperscript{10} Therefore, TNBC survivors diagnosed at age ≤60 years would be eligible for a genetic counseling referral under current criteria. However, many long-term TNBC survivors were diagnosed before the NCCN Guidelines were initially published and widely disseminated, and therefore may not have been offered a referral for genetic counseling at initial diagnosis or at subsequent surveillance visits.\textsuperscript{11}

In our practice within a breast cancer survivorship clinic at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC), we anecdotally observed that some TNBC survivors who had been diagnosed at a young age and were being followed with routine surveillance visits had not undergone genetic counseling or testing. Hence, in this retrospective study, we sought to understand referral patterns for genetic counseling and testing among long-term TNBC survivors at MDACC. We aimed to determine factors associated with such referrals and to seek opportunities for quality improvement and increasing the rate of referral to genetic counseling.

**Methods**

We conducted a single-institution retrospective analysis of female long-term TNBC survivors, defined as being disease-free for a minimum of 5 years after diagnosis. The primary outcome of interest was documentation of a formal recommendation from the medical provider for a genetic counseling session. Using the prospectively maintained Breast Cancer Database Management System housed in the Department of Breast Medical Oncology at MDACC, we identified women diagnosed with stage I–III TNBC between 1992 and 2008, were aged ≤60 years at diagnosis, were alive and cancer-free for at least 5 years from the date of diagnosis, and had undergone surveillance visits up to 2017. To avoid selection bias, we included those who had received their initial treatment and subsequent surveillance visits at MDACC and excluded those who had presented only for an initial consultation or a second opinion.\textsuperscript{12}

We reviewed the electronic medical records of these women and extracted data on demographic characteristics, including ethnicity/race, menopausal status, family history of breast and ovarian cancer in first- and second-degree relatives, and tumor characteristics, including tumor stage and grade. At MDACC, a genetic counseling session includes a comprehensive assessment of a personal and family history to ensure patients receive genetic testing recommendations as established by the most up-to-date clinical care for any hereditary cancer syndrome. For those who underwent genetic testing, \textit{BRCA} test results were noted if available. We compared rates of genetic referrals among those who had undergone their last surveillance visit up to 2010, which is when NCCN initially published these specific criteria,\textsuperscript{10} and used this rate as a baseline relative control, versus those who had undergone such a surveillance visit during 2011 and later, to determine the influence of the initial NCCN Guidelines in the rates of referrals. We also compared rates of referrals by the year of diagnosis.

We used descriptive statistics to analyze the characteristics of these patients and a univariate analysis to identify variables associated with an increased rate of genetic counseling referral. Statistical tests were performed using a 2-sided significance level of 0.05, by using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.) and STATA version 12.0 (StataCorp LP). This retrospective study was conducted under Institutional...
Review Board–approved protocol PA13-0424, and a waiver of informed consent was obtained.

**Results**

We identified 646 female long-term TNBC survivors, who had a median age at diagnosis of 47 years. Among them, 64% were white, 18% were African American, and 13% were of Hispanic ethnicity (Table 1). Documentation of a formal recommendation from the medical provider for a referral to a genetic counseling session with potential for genetic testing was found in the medical records of 245 TNBC survivors (38%), among whom 59% had no family history of breast or ovarian cancer, whereas 23% had a positive family history in a first-degree relative and 18% in a second-degree relative. Among those referred for genetic counseling, 156 (64%) underwent genetic testing and had results available in the medical record. Among these, 55 (35%) were found to have a deleterious BRCA germline pathogenic variant (38 with a BRCA1 pathogenic variant, 15 with a BRCA2 pathogenic variant, and 2 with pathogenic variants in both BRCA1 and BRCA2).

Among the 55 long-term TNBC survivors who carried a BRCA pathogenic variant, 78% were diagnosed at age <50 years. In regard to timing to genetic testing among these 55 women, 2 (4%) had been tested before their diagnosis of TNBC, 17 (31%) were tested at time of diagnosis, 18 (33%) had been tested between years 1 to 4 after their diagnosis, and 14 (25%) had been tested after 5 years of their diagnosis of TNBC; of note, 4 TNBC survivors (7%) did not have available documentation on the exact date of the genetic testing.

In reference to the clinical impact of finding a BRCA pathogenic variant in these 55 female TNBC patients, 21 (38%) underwent a prophylactic contralateral mastectomy and a prophylactic bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (BSO) after testing positive; 14 (26%) underwent a prophylactic BSO after genetic testing, wherein some of these patients had a diagnosis of synchronous or metachronous bilateral breast cancer; 6 (11%) underwent a contralateral prophylactic mastectomy followed by a high-risk ovarian cancer screening program including serial measurements of the CA-125 tumor marker and a vaginal ultrasound; and 11 (20%) did not have any
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prophylactic surgery due to either a diagnosis of bilateral breast cancer and ovarian cancer (n=9) or because they were morbidly obese and thus not surgical candidates (n=2). Of these 55 patients, 3 (5%) had undergone BSO prior to genetic testing for a nonmalignant reason. The BRCA pathogenic variant carriers who did not undergo a bilateral mastectomy were recommended to follow a high-risk breast cancer program with serial mammograms and breast MRI.

Interestingly, the medical records indicated that 20% of the long-term TNBC survivors who had received a recommendation for a referral for genetic counseling declined genetic counseling or testing (Figure 1). In a small proportion (3%) of cases, the genetic counselor did not recommend testing, whereas another 2% of survivors did not pursue testing because of lack of medical insurance coverage. There were 5 TNBC survivors (2%) who, according to documentation, underwent genetic counseling and testing, but the results were unavailable in the medical records. Another 9% of those who were recommended to undergo genetic counseling did not, for reasons that could not be determined from the available documentation.

The rate of referral for genetic counseling markedly improved over time. We established a baseline relative control referral rate of 15% (23/150) among long-term TNBC survivors whose most recent documented surveillance clinic visit had occurred up until 2010, whereas this rate was 25% (90/364) among those whose most recent surveillance clinic visit occurred between 2011 and 2013, and 100% (n=132) among those who had such surveillance visits in 2014 and later. Conversely, the year of diagnosis did not appear to have much influence on the rates of genetic referrals: 33% (48/146) among long-term TNBC survivors diagnosed from 1992–2000 compared with 39% (197/500) among those diagnosed from 2001–2008.

Patient characteristics associated with receiving a genetic counseling referral were younger age at diagnosis, premenopausal status at diagnosis, and positive family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer (Table 2). No associations were found between referral for genetic counseling and race/ethnicity, disease stage, or tumor grade.

Discussion

We found that 38% of long-term TNBC survivors who were diagnosed at age ≤60 years and who received their initial treatment and continued surveillance care at MDACC received a recommendation for referral to genetic counseling. Among these survivors, 64% underwent genetic testing. Among those tested, 35% were found to have a BRCA germ-line pathogenic variant, which is in line with find-
ings from previous studies of patients with TNBC.\(^3\) Univariate analysis showed that younger age, premenopausal state at diagnosis, and family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer were associated with an increased rate of receiving a referral. A multivariable analysis was not possible because a premenopausal state was considered to be correlated with a younger age at diagnosis, and because among the 401 long-term TNBC survivors who did not receive a formal recommendation for a referral to genetic counseling, none had a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer. Therefore, an odds ratio could not be calculated for the family history variable.

Other studies have shown that the rates of genetic testing among patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer who were eligible for referral based on NCCN criteria were as high as 53% among those diagnosed in 2013 and 2014.\(^13\) However, in a recent population-based study, <20% of women with a history of breast and/or ovarian cancer who met NCCN eligibility criteria had actually undergone genetic testing; the authors estimated that >1 million eligible women had not undergone genetic testing.\(^14\) The care of long-term TNBC survivors could be substantially affected by genetic test results, even long after the course of their initial oncologic treatments. Many patients may have undergone unilateral or partial mastectomies, and many may still have their ovaries, increasing the risk of recurrence or secondary cancers. Additionally, results of a positive BRCA test may prompt patients’ family members to seek genetic testing to determine their pathogenic variant status and, if positive, to seek preventive care.\(^1\)

We found that the rate of referral for genetic counseling markedly increased over time, from a baseline control of 15% until 2010, to 25% from 2011–2013, to 100% from 2014 and onward; in May 2010, the first NCCN Guidelines that established clear criteria for genetic counseling referral in patients with breast cancer were published.\(^10\) Improvement in the rate of genetic counseling referral over time speaks to the likely influence of the NCCN Guidelines in changing clinical practice. This outcome is consistent with other reports in the literature. Using an insurance claims–based approach, one study showed that among almost 27,000 young breast cancer survivors who had been diagnosed at age ≤45 years, BRCA testing rates increased each year from 2005 to 2012, increasing substantially in the past decade.\(^15\) Another study, a cross-sectional analysis of a prospective cohort, showed that the rates of BRCA pathogenic variant testing in almost 900 women aged ≤40 years at breast cancer diagnosis increased between 2006 and 2013.\(^16\) A recent study from a university-based hospital reported a significant increase in their genetic referral rates from 26% to 52% after the implementation of a multidisciplinary Heredofamilial Cancer Unit, which offers cancer risk assessment services provided by a medical oncologist trained in human genetics and genetic counseling, and access to a multidisciplinary team of breast cancer providers including a gynecologist, a radiologist, an oncology nurse, and a psychologist.\(^17\)

Although our study focused on women with TNBC who were diagnosed at age ≤60 years, the NCCN Guidelines have established additional criteria for recommending a referral for genetic risk evaluation. These criteria include patients with breast cancer with a known cancer susceptibility gene pathogenic variant within their family, those diagnosed at ≤50 years of age, individuals who have 2 breast cancer primaries, male patients with breast cancer, patients with a significant family history of breast or ovarian cancer, individuals of Ashkenazi Jewish descent, and individuals with a personal and/or family history of cancer in other sites, such as pancreas and prostate, among other sites, following specific criteria outlined in the guideline.\(^9\) In addition, family history of cancer can change over time—on
diagnosis, a newly diagnosed patient could be the index case in the family, but after a period of time, other family members may also develop breast or ovarian cancer, which could be indicative of a deleterious germline pathogenic variant in the family. Hence, it is important for medical providers who care for breast cancer survivors to review and update the family history of cancer during each clinical encounter. A significant number of long-term breast cancer survivors could meet the eligibility criteria for genetic counseling only many years after diagnosis. In our analysis, 59% of patients referred for genetic counseling did not have a positive family history of breast cancer. However, as expected, the BRCA pathogenic variant rate was significantly higher in those with a first- or second-degree family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer than in those without such a family history.

Interestingly, 20% of the referred breast cancer survivors in our cohort declined genetic testing. Reasons why patients decline genetic counseling and testing have been documented previously and include a lack of knowledge about breast cancer genetics, fear of stigmatization, anticipation of negative emotions as a result of undergoing testing, and high levels of family-related guilt. Additionally, some patients who refuse genetic testing may feel that results of the testing are no longer relevant to them or may be afraid of the results after a relative’s objection. Some barriers to genetic counseling and testing for patients who meet the NCCN criteria for genetic testing have been addressed by the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA) of 2008, a federal law that protects consumers from discrimination by health insurers and employers based on genetic information. Our study found that among the 401 long-term TNBC survivors who did not receive a formal recommendation for genetic counseling, none had a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer. Hence, an alternative explanation for the increase in genetic referrals over time was likely the improved insurance coverage after publication of the GINA. Nonetheless, the screening interventions that may be required for patients with positive genetic test results can be cost-prohibitive; insurance coverage of preventive measures for carriers of deleterious germline pathogenic variants is not mandated by the Affordable Care Act, and these costs can disproportionately affect low-income minority populations. Furthermore, many patients with breast cancer undergo genetic testing without ever seeing a genetic counselor, and the growing use of multigene panels adds more challenges and complexity for both medical providers and patients. Knowledge of other inherited breast cancer related risks, such as pathogenic variants in PALB2, ATM, and CHEK2, could warrant rereferral for genetic counseling and potential expanded multigene panel testing among patients with TNBC who had previously tested negative for a BRCA pathogenic variant. However, limited data exist on the prevalence of TNBC among carriers of pathogenic variants in genes beyond BRCA1 and BRCA2.

A survey of >1,500 women with nonmetastatic breast cancer diagnosed from 2005 to 2007 found that 35% had a strong desire for genetic testing. This desire was more common among younger women, and minority patients’ need for discussing genetic testing with their medical providers was more likely to go unmet. Racial disparities in BRCA testing have been documented; rates of BRCA testing are substantially lower among African American women with breast cancer versus white women. Our study showed that survivors who were younger or premenopausal at diagnosis or had a significant family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer more often received a recommendation for genetic counseling. We did not observe any differences in recommendation rates based on race or ethnicity. Differences in the source of the study populations and methodology could explain these differences among studies.

This study has the inherent limitations of a retrospective review of medical records. Some information was not available for all individuals, and the reasons for a medical provider not recommending a genetic referral, despite managing an eligible patient, are not always well-documented in the medical record. It is also possible that family history is underreported and not probed in a consistent manner across providers. We believe that these limitations do not greatly affect the results and conclusions of this study.

Conclusions

Among long-term TNBC survivors, the rate of genetic counseling referral has increased over time from a baseline of 15% among those whose last sur-
veillance visit occurred in 2010 or earlier, to 25% among those followed between 2011–2013, to 100% among those followed in 2014 or later. The marked increase in referrals was likely influenced by publication of the 2010 NCCN Guidelines, which was the first version that established clear criteria for genetic counseling referral in patients with breast cancer, and suggests the substantial influence of these guidelines in changing clinical practice. An alternative explanation for this increase in genetic referrals over time may have been the improved insurance coverage after publication of the GINA in 2008. In addition, a considerable number of long-term TNBC survivors are carriers of a deleterious BRCA germline pathogenic variant. With evolving national guidelines that recommend genetic counseling and risk assessment in women with a history of breast or ovarian cancer, the role of survivorship care in addressing this need is highlighted. Designing interventions to standardize genetic testing, increase referrals to genetic counseling, and improve education for healthcare providers and patients regarding the clinical utility of genetic counseling testing as recommended by the current NCCN Guidelines will likely improve the rate of genetic counseling referral among eligible breast cancer survivors.
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