In the September 2017 issue of JNCCN, Margaret Tempero, MD, discussed the juxtaposition of the constraints on the genetic service workforce and the increasing demand for genetic information related to cancer risk and diagnosis. The National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC) supports her call to action that healthcare providers must help individuals navigate the increasingly complex and dynamic landscape of genetics and cancer risk. The goal of this commentary, however, is to clarify misconceptions about what she noted as an increasing workforce shortage in genetic counseling and, in fact, about the genetic counseling profession itself.

Workforce shortages and barriers to care are common in many specialties in healthcare. However, genetic counseling is one of the fastest growing professions and is projected to reach an equilibrium of 1 patient-facing clinical genetic counselor (GC) per 100,000 people within 5 years. Additionally:

1. GCs are available. Genetic counselors can be found in all 50 states and Canada. The NSGC has provided a Web site (www.findagenticounselor.com) on which patients and healthcare providers can search for GCs by various criteria, including specialty and geographic location. Genetic counseling is also available via telephone or telemedicine nationwide. Although genetic counseling is generally covered by healthcare plans and is frequently required before genetic testing, health plans could significantly improve access to GCs by credentialing them to ensure that there are robust networks of GCs who can be easily identified through online directories.

2. GCs can see patients in a timely fashion. The NSGC 2016 Professional Status Survey (N=3,005) found that 38% of patients could get an appointment with a GC within 1 week and 52% within 2 weeks. The NSGC also commissioned an informal survey earlier this year of cancer GCs specifically. Results showed that 80% of respondents (N=450) are able to accommodate STAT patients (typically those with a recent cancer diagnosis who are pending treatment decisions) in 1 to 3 days.

3. GCs are innovating for a changing healthcare landscape. NSGC’s strategic plan prioritizes improved patient access to genetic services by addressing GC efficiency and promoting the use of varied service delivery models. GCs nationwide are expanding service delivery models, such as telemedicine, telephone, and group sessions. Additionally, they are pioneering other novel efficiency efforts, including streamlined workflows, implementation of electronic collection of family history and other technologies, and the use of ancillary support staff, such as Genetic Counseling Assistants. All of this will allow GCs more time to focus on patient care. GCs are also collaborating with nongenetics healthcare providers to educate and support them as they offer risk assessment and genetic testing. NSGC is not only evaluating the implementation of traditional and novel service delivery models, but also examining outcomes measures to ascertain the effectiveness of these models.

4. The GC workforce is growing. NSGC has a thoughtful and strategic plan to increase the size of the GC workforce over the next 3 years. This includes increasing the number of new and the size of existing genetic counseling training programs, and enhancing the network of clinical supervisors required to train the next generation of GCs. The number of new genetic counseling training programs is higher than predicted, with at least 19 new programs in
development. These will probably allow for more new GCs than previously anticipated by the workforce study.\(^7\)

High-quality outcomes, accessibility, and timely care for patients remain a primary focus for GCs and oncology providers alike. All healthcare providers, regardless of specialty, should keep this common goal in sight. NSGC believes that GCs should be involved, directly or indirectly, in the delivery of cancer genetics services to all patients, and recognizes that sometimes our role will be to empower, educate, and support other types of healthcare providers as they offer genetic services to the many patients who need them. At the same time, studies have shown that non-genetics healthcare providers are more likely to order genetic testing incorrectly and/or misinterpret genetic test results for hereditary cancer.\(^8–10\) Therefore, delivery of genetic services must be a collaborative effort to ensure high-quality patient outcomes.

NSGC is supporting efforts toward building efficient and effective service delivery models to provide quality hereditary cancer risk assessment and testing to the masses. We welcome collaboration in these efforts.
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