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Abstract

**Background:** Rapid advancements in the field of metastatic breast cancer (mBC) add to the complexity of managing patients with this disease. An educational needs assessment of multidisciplinary mBC clinicians was executed to identify practice performance gaps and recommend educational strategies aimed at closing these gaps. **Methods:** To ensure a collection of reliable data for assessment, a systematic process was used to design, develop, and validate the tools that were used. This grounded theory approach included assessment and confirmation by clinical experts and validation testing within the target audiences. A mixed-methods approach was used to identify practice performance gaps in care, using both qualitative in-depth interviews and quantitative surveying. The quantitative survey assessment consisted of 2 main sections: the Clinician Change Readiness Inventory tool and a Clinical Knowledge and Practice Assessment. **Results:** The study included 42 clinicians in the interview phase and 186 clinicians in the survey phase from 36 different states. Five key practice performance gaps were identified: (1) selecting optimal treatment, (2) personalizing therapy, (3) monitoring mBC, (4) engaging in effective communication, and (5) balancing patient access and time. Most of the gaps overlap and are related to the integral role communication plays in management decision-making in mBC. **Conclusions:** Awareness of the key practice performance gaps is critical to inform improvements in quality care.

**Background**

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common cancer in women, with an estimated 1.7 million new cases globally each year.\(^1,2\) Although only 5% to 10% of cancers are metastatic at diagnosis, approximately 30% that are initially diagnosed as early stage will become metastatic, making BC a significant health challenge.\(^1,3\) When detected early, BC may be curable; however, metastatic BC (mBC) is generally considered incurable, with a 5-year survival rate of 25%.\(^3,4\)

A multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach is necessary in treating mBC, in order to provide optimal care for the patient. The MDT should consist of a surgical oncologist, radiologist, medical oncologist, pathologist, clinical nurse specialist, and palliative care specialist.\(^5\) Unfortunately, 59% of women with BC, particularly mBC, say that most aspects of their lives have changed in a negative way, and that oncologists are not addressing the psychosocial aspects of BC and are not communicating effectively with patients and caregivers regarding medical treatment, prognosis, healthcare costs, insurance coverage, and quality-of-life and survivorship issues.\(^6\) According to the National Institutes of Health, a palliative care specialist should be included...
in the MDT as early as possible to prevent or manage symptoms and side effects of the disease and its treatment, in addition to the related psychological, social, and spiritual problems. Palliative care at its heart is an MDT approach that focuses on quality of life of patients and their family. Cancer care is undergoing a paradigm shift from disease management to a patient-centered approach that requires patient rights and empowerment. However, palliative care services remain limited.

Primary goals of palliative care include palliation and disease control, with optimal treatment revolving around efficacy and toxicity considerations and patient status and preference. Treatment for BC is changing, and therapy choices are becoming more individualized for patients. Oncologists feel overwhelmed by the magnitude of and rapidity with which information on molecular testing and new drugs has appeared. Additionally, oncologists are currently unclear about optimizing targeted therapies for patients with early advanced BC. Ongoing research is identifying new treatment paradigms that offer promise to patients. Unfortunately, even with these advancements, challenges and performance gaps remain in providing optimal care.

To understand critical knowledge- and competence-based clinical gaps in mBC, we conducted a needs assessment of a cohort of US healthcare professionals (HCPs) who treat patients with mBC. Results provide an analysis of how HCPs are managing mBC and identify clinical areas that should be addressed in future continuing medical education (CME).

Methods

A mixed methodology using both qualitative and quantitative research was used for this assessment. To ensure a collection of valid and reliable data for the assessment, a systematic process was used to design, develop, and validate the needs assessment tools. This process included the review of peer-reviewed literature, assessment and validation by clinical experts, and validation testing within the target audiences. Beta testing was performed in a 2-phase process. First, the instrument was sent to members of the target audience during interviews to discuss each item, the response chosen by the clinician, and feedback on the question-and-response set. After refinement based on the information learned through the interviews, the assessment was placed online and released to a sample of the target audience to check for adequate item variance, reliability, and convergent/discriminant validity with respect to other measures.

Repeat sampling was used to ensure a data set representative of the target audiences and results that are generalizable to those audiences. All data collection techniques and processes were adherent to best practices around human research subject protection. Data collected were stored on a locked and password-protected computer, accessible only by authorized study researchers. No private health information was collected. Personal information was collected only for purposes of reimbursing interviewees for their time. This information was separated (de-identified) from the study data. All study data were reported in aggregate fashion only.

The target population was the multidisciplinary clinical team members engaged in the treatment of patients with mBC at academic medical centers and/or community cancer centers and clinics in the United States. They included oncologists in the academic setting, community oncologists, oncology nurse navigators (ONNs), and oncology nurses.

The needs-assessment methodology included:

- Qualitative interviews: 60-minute telephone interviews were conducted with HCPs with experience managing and treating mBC in order to identify gaps in knowledge, competence, and performance; key issues and influences on clinical behavior; and decision points in the management of mBC wherein educational interventions may be helpful. Interview reporting included archetypal responses and key verbatim responses.

- Quantitative clinician survey: this 2-part quantitative assessment was distributed via e-mail invitation. Quantitative assessments underwent statistical analysis, using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 21.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) to quantify and establish statistical significance of areas such as gaps, attitudes, and barriers. Using estimated response rates based on similar past surveys, partners ensured a minimum of 100 completed surveys for the primary target audience of medical oncologists with repeated sampling. Participants consisted of medical, radiation, and surgical oncologists. The multiple-choice question survey tool took approximately...
10 minutes to complete and included basic demographic data and 2 main sections:

♦ Clinician Change Readiness Inventory: designed to assess perceived needs, this tool quantified forces and attitudes around the diagnosis and treatment of mBC and identified barriers to adherence and clinical best practices. It was organized using clinical competency statements of behaviors essential for best practice related to mBC care derived from evidence-based clinical practice guidelines with expert input.

♦ Clinical Knowledge and Practice Assessment: created to assess and quantify actual clinician knowledge as well as practice gaps related to the assessment, diagnosis, and management of mBC, this tool also quantified systems barriers that impede best practices. The multiple-choice questions in the “Practice Assessment” section featured clinical vignettes intended for the primary target medical oncologist audience.

- Expert panel review: on completion of data collection, an advisory panel of clinical experts convened to assist professional educators with the interpretation of these data, suggest further subanalyses, and develop implications for future education.

- Faculty members were selected by Boston University School of Medicine and Haymarket Medical Education based on the faculty’s commitment to education and mBC expertise.

### Results

#### Sample Demographics

In-depth interviews were completed with 42 clinicians; 19 from academic medical centers and 23 from community settings. The clinician survey was completed by 186 physicians and 2 nurse practitioners from 36 different states (Table 1); the ONN survey was completed by 13 individuals. Survey participants represented a mix of practice settings (Table 2); 42% of participants had been in practice >15 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviewees by Profession</th>
<th>Survey Participants by Profession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical oncologist</td>
<td>Medical oncologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation oncologist</td>
<td>Radiation oncologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse practitioner/nurse</td>
<td>Surgical oncologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncology nurse navigator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Practice Performance Gap #1: Selecting the Optimal Treatment Approach as Patients Progress Throughout the Disease Continuum

An unmet need exists in the ability among medical oncologists to select appropriate therapy options for patients with mBC. Additionally, optimal sequencing of therapies, especially in the metastatic disease setting, remains a challenge. The medical oncologists perceived a need in both of those areas, as evidenced by the difference between present and desired ability ratings (Figure 1). These indicate areas in which the physicians desire education in order to change their clinical practice.

The “Practice Assessment” portion of the survey indicates variability in oncologists’ abilities to choose appropriate therapy options. Responses to many questions indicated that education is warranted regarding how clinicians think about endocrine therapy and the appropriate patient profiles for this treatment approach. In particular, 19% of the physicians surveyed indicated that they sometimes try endocrine therapy for estrogen receptor (ER)–negative tumors (Figure 2A), and most of these respondents noted that they would try endocrine therapy before chemotherapy (Figure 2B). This reveals an unmet need in education surround-
ceiving how to treat the patient population with ER-negative mBC, specifically in terms of the appropriate patient population to consider for endocrine therapies. Additionally, 19% of respondents did not select the optimal therapy for a clinical vignette focusing on patients with ER-positive mBC (Figure 3). This clinical vignette requires clinicians to first make a decision between chemotherapy and an antiestrogen therapy. This particular patient should not be prescribed chemotherapy; chemotherapy would be appropriate for a patient with visceral disease. The interviews also revealed a similar deficit in treatment strategies for treating this patient population; ONNs indicated that some clinicians are quick to treat with chemotherapy when managing this patient population. Educating clinicians on the rationale behind the main tenet of treatment for patients with ER-positive mBC, which is to start antiestrogen therapy first, is critical.

Additional information regarding education on therapies was gleaned from the needs assessment. When asked to list the most important topics to cover in mBC education, >75% of the medical oncologists indicated therapy-related topics. The NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology for Breast Cancer were reported to be the most trusted source for treatment recommendations. Although 61% of respondents said that the NCCN Guidelines provide just the right amount of information, 34% said that they would like more guidance, which further supports the desire for more education.

**Practice Performance Gap #2: Personalizing Treatment Strategies Based on Individual Patient Characteristics**

Medical oncologists exhibit educational need when making treatment decisions for patient subpopulations, including premenopausal and postmenopausal women, patients with comorbidities, and other patients who may present unique mBC challenges. Results of the clinical vignette challenges are suggestive of a larger issue focused on a potential deficit in clinicians’ abilities to take into consideration individual patient characteristics when making treatment decisions. This Practice Performance Gap shares similarities with Practice Performance Gap #1.

Medical oncologists may not consider the entire patient’s clinical condition, including comorbidities, when evaluating possible treatment strategies. As will be described in Practice Performance Gap #3, side effects are a focus of interest for patients with mBC. However, when asked for recommended treatments for an already sick patient experiencing pulmonary complications, 18% of medical oncologists chose a

---

**Figure 1.** Competency gaps. The average difference, or gap, between the present perceived and desired level of ability rating for each of the competency statements indicates the perceived need of the learners. This gap between the perception of “what is” and “what ought to be” predicts physician motivation to learn and change. When evaluating the medical oncologist group, there are 2 gaps that stand out.

**Figure 2.** Practice assessment clinical vignette: ER-negative patient. (A) Roughly 1 in 5 respondents noted that they would try endocrine therapy, even for ER-negative tumors. (B) The 25 individuals who indicated that they would in fact try endocrine therapy for ER-negative tumors were asked whether they would try the endocrine therapy before or after chemotherapy; 72% said they would try the therapy before chemotherapy.

*Abbreviation: ER, estrogen receptor.*

---

A. For ER-negative tumors, I sometimes try endocrine therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. I would typically try endocrine therapy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before chemotherapy</th>
<th>After chemotherapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
treatment associated with pulmonary complications (Figure 4). Thus, there is an opportunity for education concerning the impact of treatment-related side effects as they relate to individual patients, such as regarding quality of life; results from this clinical vignette are not reflective of a prioritization of side effect implications. Additionally, an opportunity was identified for education focusing on an individualized approach to patient care, balancing toxicities with quality of life, and other options. When asked which treatment they would recommend for a very fragile person with indolent disease and toxicities from her previous initial therapy, 1 in 4 clinicians chose an aggressive approach (Figure 5). Despite multiple options to choose from and no urgencies due to her disease status, 23% of medical oncologists chose a treatment strategy that seemingly did not balance the patient’s quality of life with toxicity considerations.

Practice Performance Gap #3: Appropriately and Effectively Monitoring Metastatic Disease, Including Disease Progression and Side Effects

Although results from the “Competency Gaps” analysis suggest that there is not a perceived need in monitoring and managing the side effects of therapy or in monitoring metastatic disease during therapy (Figure 1), clinical evaluation by the expert panel suggested otherwise. For instance, 55% of medical oncologists indicated that they would obtain a PET/CT scan when there was in fact no role for a PET/CT in an asymptomatic individual. Further expert discussion indicated that information on monitoring disease, including evidence-based radiologic studies and BC disease surveillance, presents an area of educational need.

A critical aspect of patient–physician communication is the discussion of side effects. Although respondents in this study averaged low agreement with the statement “My patients do not always report the side effects they experience,” critical expert analysis supports the need for enhanced effective communication between physicians and patients when it comes to side effects. The interview portion of the study also revealed that patient reporting of side effects was a concern; this was reported more by ONNs than physicians. There are indications that patients may not be communicating side effects to their physicians for fear that their oncologist may stop their medication.1,16,17 Thus, physician awareness surrounding the deficit in patient reporting of side effects may be lacking and must be emphasized with practicing oncologists.

Practice Performance Gap #4: Engaging in Effective Patient–Physician Communication, Especially in the Context of Difficult Discussions

Effective physician–patient communication ensures realistic expectations about interventions, prognosis, and outcomes. The Clinical Competencies exercise measured present and desired abilities of clinicians in order to identify perceived competency gaps (Figure 1). Interestingly, the smallest perceived gaps in ability were related to engaging the patient in shared decision-making for therapy selection and communicating disease status or updating patients on disease progression, which were the only 2 competencies related to patient–physician communication. Furthermore, respondents strongly agreed that their patients were involved in therapy decisions. Although there is low perceived need, analysis by the expert clinical panel and evaluation of the current literature revealed an educational need for improvement surround-
ing patient–physician communication and the model of shared decision-making. Education on successful communication approaches, such as in prognostic discussions, and how to include accompanying empathic strategies is key.

Additionally, the lowest barrier to clinical practice as determined by this needs assessment survey was “Lack of patient education materials”; yet further clinical expert analysis concluded that there is an unmet need for more and improved patient resources, as supported by data from recent patient surveys.

**Practice Performance Gap #5: Managing Obstacles Such as Patient Access and Inadequate Time as a Resource**

Patient access and a lack of time as a resource were identified as barriers to adopting new therapies in clinical practice. The time, attention, and energy needed to manage patients with mBC can be overwhelming for a medical oncologist. Other members on the MDT, such as the palliative care specialist, would better serve in guiding improvement in quality of life for patients. Issues in this area include pain and psychological, psychosocial, and often comorbid conditions.

Medical oncologists rated high cost to patients, patients’ lack of insurance coverage, and lack of time to learn about new therapies as their top 3 barriers to adopting new therapies, suggesting that patient-access issues are a significant concern in mBC care. Patient access is also important to patients. The busy schedules of medical oncologists is revealed by the barrier ranked third, which indicates that time is of the essence and that clinicians often feel they do not have enough time to stay abreast of the rapidly changing environment.

**Discussion**

This needs assessment illuminates both the real and perceived needs of clinicians treating and managing patients with mBC. Physicians indicated a strong desire for competence in managing these patients, and will be motivated to learn and change if shown evidence of real needs within the content of an educational program. Although the medical oncologists are, in general, very confident and have few perceived needs, this study demonstrates that rapid advancements in the field of mBC and the oncology field as a whole continually present an educational need and stimulate interest in learning.

Physicians are encouraged to change clinical behavior based on the presence or absence of various forces in their environment. The Forces for Change analysis underscores the dedication of the clinician population toward learning and education in the topics described. The highest forces for change were the professional forces: “New information in the literature has increased my interest in adopting new practices in this area,” and “I wish I were more knowledgeable in this area.” There is always new information in the area of mBC; thus, education with this focus is supported and desired by HCPs in this field of medicine. When asked how confident the oncologists were in their ability to remain on the cutting edge with regard to mBC treatment, interestingly, confidence showed a negative correlation with knowledge: those who answered fewer of the treatment-decision questions correctly had a higher level of confidence in their treatment decisions. Thus, confidence is not a good predictor of knowledge in this area. When considering continuing education, outcomes metrics should be tied to actual knowledge or performance measures and not to confidence.

Improving patient–physician communication skills is a common theme that has emerged as the...
A 78-year-old with COPD oxygen dependent/DM/HTN/CAD/CKD/arthritis/GERD, had ER-positive breast cancer treated in adjuvant setting with surgery, radiation, and tamoxifen. Fifteen years later, rib films that were done due to a mechanical fall revealed multiple pulmonary nodules. Results from a fine needle aspiration are consistent with metastatic cancer that is grade 2 and ER-positive. She receives anastrozole. After 6 months her arthralgias are noticeably worse and her nodules are stable. What treatment would you recommend?

Figure 5. Practice assessment clinical vignette: patient with comorbidities. Although 72% of respondents noted that they would switch this patient to another endocrine therapy, 16% would continue anastrozole and 7% would administer either single-agent or combination chemotherapy. Thus, 1 in 4 individuals would not make the optimal choice.

Abbreviations: CAD, coronary artery disease; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DM, diabetes mellitus; ER, estrogen receptor; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; HTN, hypertension.

a Inappropriate answer as identified by the expert faculty.

b Optimal answer as identified by the expert faculty.

Future CME should include a modeling forum or clinical vignettes. Patient cases are complex—there is an ongoing need for physicians to be exposed to multifaceted clinical challenges. This approach may be executed through a small-group educational format using teaching cases, similar to tumor boards; however, patient case scenarios also lend themselves well to symposia and text-based cases featuring video vignettes. When educating clinicians about therapies, content should cover efficacy, side effects, placement in a sequencing regimen, and mechanisms of action. Where appropriate, financial implications of therapies should also be covered to provide clinicians an idea of potential patient-access challenges. For all educational initiatives, outcomes metrics should be tied to actual knowledge or performance measures and not to confidence, because this needs assessment demonstrated that confidence is not a good predictor of knowledge in mBC.

Integration of individuals such as ONNs into an MDT approach may help alleviate some of the communication burdens on practicing oncologists. Some ONNs also advocate for the integration of peer-to-peer patient programs in an mBC setting, which may even further alleviate these challenges. However, integration of ONNs and/or patient peers is not meant to replace the role of the practicing oncologist, but rather to augment the physician and, ultimately, offer a fully multidisciplinary approach to care.

Conclusions

Five key practice performance gaps and associated needs in the mBC academic- and community-based settings have been identified from this research. These gaps describe issues that can impact clinical efficiencies and, ultimately, the quality patient care and outcomes. Each of the key practice performance gaps is addressable from an educational perspective. HCPs treating mBC exhibit a desire to learn and significant readiness to change if shown evidence of benefits. Overall, pertinent, high-quality, evidence-based education that meets identified needs will ensure that HCPs continually improve their practice, ultimately resulting in better care and optimal outcomes in patients with mBC.
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