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Abstract
The authors sought to measure the timeliness of care for patients with breast cancer at Dana-Farber/Brigham and Women’s Cancer Center throughout the treatment continuum, and to identify sources of variation that may serve as targets for improving care delivery. This report describes the methods that were developed to measure and analyze baseline performance. (J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2014;12[Suppl 1]:S5–S9)

Both ASCO and NCCN endorse time-dependent quality measures for specific treatment modalities. An effective, multidisciplinary care model requires standardized processes and coordinated hand-offs to ensure timely care. The steering committee for this project identified 4 time intervals as key performance indicators (KPIs): new patient access, initial consult to first definitive surgery, last definitive surgery to initiation of chemotherapy, and time to radiation therapy. These indicators assist in assessing the quality of care coordination for patients with breast cancer.

The large number of providers, sites, and disciplines involved in breast cancer care at the Dana-Farber/Brigham and Women’s Cancer Center (DFBWCC) imposes challenges for developing measures of timely care. To address this challenge, the authors created a database that integrated information from multiple sources, which allowed for the identification and quantification of important process variations and for the selection of promising internal best practices. Based on subsequent analyses, the authors assembled teams to address delays in care and to create standardized workflows across sites and services.

Background
Unnecessary delays in care impose a psychological burden on patients and their families, increase the risk of suboptimal outcomes, and lead to reductions in completion of care. Furthermore, delays stemming from poor coordination of care adversely affect patient experience and satisfaction. At DFBWCC, patients with breast cancer are cared for by a multidisciplinary team, often including medical, surgical, and radiation oncologists. Other participating disciplines include breast imaging experts, pathologists, reconstructive surgeons, nurses, pharmacists, and various allied health professionals. Patient care may also involve a clinical trial infrastructure.

The institution’s goals through the NCCN Opportunities for Improvement (OFI) initiative were to improve the timeliness of care and reduce unwarranted variability in care coordination. Standardization of care coordination is particularly important at DFBWCC because patients with breast cancer may potentially be seen by 26 medical oncologists, 12 surgical oncologists, and 6 radiation oncologists, and may access care at 6 ambulatory, 3 surgical, and 4 radiation therapy sites. With more
Supplement
Bunnell et al
© JNCCN—Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network | Volume 12 Supplement 1 | February 2014

than 3000 unique new patients with breast cancer in 2012, each of these patients could have been treated by any of the more than 1800 combinations of medical, surgical, and radiation oncologists.

**Identifying KPIs**

Several studies have shown variation in the timeliness of breast cancer care based on socioeconomic factors, ethnicity, race, age, and geography.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^12\) However, few studies have examined the complex nature of cancer care and how internal processes within provider and administrative staff control may contribute to delays.\(^13\)

In December 2011, DFBWCC initiated the OFI project by constituting a multidisciplinary steering committee comprised of physicians, nurses, and administrators. To identify improvement opportunities, the OFI Steering Committee analyzed NCCN data for patients receiving care between 2007 and mid-2010. The data included the following milestones: diagnosis by needle biopsy, first definitive surgery, last definitive surgery, and adjuvant therapy timing (chemotherapy, radiation therapy, or endocrine therapy). NCCN also provided benchmarking data for the average durations between milestones at other NCCN Member Institutions. A comparison between DFBWCC and its peer group showed that the benchmarks for the specified milestones were met based on the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines). However, the committee determined that an opportunity existed to expedite care, particularly focusing on access to and transitions of care, and prompting the development of the selected KPIs.

**Developing an Internal Dataset**

At project initiation, the NCCN data were approximately 2 years old, which was an inherent limitation because of having to manually abstract from patient charts. The abstraction process was specific regarding the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the patient cohort (Table 1). Thus, the NCCN dataset represents a significantly smaller sample of patients with breast cancer than the population of those patients served by DFBWCC. Although a separate internal chart audit from July to September 2011 revealed no statistically significant differences between NCCN data and the care rendered more recently, leadership at DFBWCC sought to use internal data to assess the timeliness of care to drive improvement efforts.

To establish an internal benchmark, the authors developed a database that captured the treatment timeline for a broader patient population than that represented by the NCCN data. The granularity of the data allowed for measurement of various operational parameters, including variations by provider and location.

The steering committee’s clinical members determined inclusion and exclusion criteria for the patient population (Table 2). Electronic reports were extracted for all breast oncology and reconstruction

### Table 1 Criteria for Related NCCN Timeliness Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion Criteria</th>
<th>Exclusion Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnosis by Needle Biopsy to First Definitive Surgery</strong></td>
<td>Received neoadjuvant chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosed by needle biopsy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented definitive surgery record</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥90 days of quality follow-up after presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last Definitive Surgery to Adjuvant Chemotherapy</strong></td>
<td>Received neoadjuvant chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;70 years at diagnosis</td>
<td>&lt;180 days of quality follow-up after presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented definitive surgery record</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received adjuvant chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Last Definitive Surgery to First Adjuvant Therapy</strong></td>
<td>Received endocrine therapy as first adjuvant therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;70 years at diagnosis</td>
<td>with an estimated endocrine therapy initiation date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documented definitive surgery record</td>
<td>&lt;270 days of quality follow-up after presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received adjuvant therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Radiation therapy, endocrine therapy, or chemotherapy.
surgery appointments and procedures performed at Brigham and Women’s Hospital (BWH) and affiliated sites at Brigham and Women’s Faulkner Hospital (BWFH) and South Shore Hospital from January 2011 through February 2012. The authors integrated the surgical procedures with appointment scheduling, chemotherapy administration, and radiation appointments from 4 separate health information and scheduling systems (Table 3).

A timeline was generated for each patient that captured milestones, such as initial consult date, first definitive surgery date, last definitive surgery date, and subsequent adjuvant therapy dates. Random chart audits were conducted throughout the data-integration process to ensure the validity of the dataset. These chart audits revealed gaps in the data that the authors were able to address through iterative data extraction.

The initial dataset included 720 patients. However, to prioritize improvement interventions, the project focused on patients who underwent surgeries at 2 primary sites: BWH and BWFH. The final dataset used to drive improvement efforts included information from 584 patients with breast cancer (Table 4). Separate analyses were conducted to measure the time from the patient’s initial contact with DFBWCC to the initial consultation.

### Using Baseline Data to Drive Improvement

Many quality improvement models emphasize the importance of collecting baseline measures to assess changes after implementing an intervention. The DFBWCC database created a mechanism to measure
shortening the time from completion of surgery to initiation of adjuvant chemotherapy.

An overarching principle has been that processes should be standardized and independent of individual providers. The interventions developed by the teams have been implemented over the previous year, and the new processes are currently being tested and validated to assess their value. Future reports are planned to discuss the outcomes of this effort.

**Challenges to Creating a Dataset**

The creation of a single dataset to capture the timeliness of patient care across DFBWCC has been challenging. This endeavor required close collaboration between clinicians, department administrators, information systems staff, and the data analytics team, and took nearly a year to complete. One of the main challenges was merging the data based on medical record numbers, because each DFBWCC site and radiation oncology treatment center assigns a unique medical record number to the same patient. To ensure data accuracy and integrity, the authors conducted random chart audits. Records were also audited for all patients whose transitions between episodes of care were deemed exceptionally long. The need to audit data delayed the presentation of and acting on the data. Therefore, a time lag exists with internal data, although this lag is shorter than that for the NCCN data. Despite the complexities involved, the internal dataset has proven essential for process and quality improvement efforts.

**Summary**

Improving the timeliness of breast cancer care at DFBWCC is a complicated endeavor involving multiple disciplines and clinical sites. Various technical and administrative impediments were encountered due to the complexity of this broad-based initiative. Significant time was spent defining, collecting, validating, integrating, and analyzing multitudinous episodes of care. Analyses revealed several barriers to timely care and, in the coming months, the authors hope to share these key findings and the results of implementing novel approaches for reducing delays in care at a large, distributed, academic, multidisciplinary cancer center.
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