Pain is a common symptom associated with cancer and its treatment. Pain management is an important aspect of oncologic care, and unrelieved pain significantly comprises overall quality of life. These NCCN Guidelines list the principles of management and acknowledge the range of complex decisions faced in the management of oncologic pain. In addition to pain assessment techniques, these guidelines provide principles of use, dosing, management of adverse effects, and safe handling procedures of pharmacologic therapies and discuss a multidisciplinary approach for the management of cancer pain. (JNCCN 2013;11:992–1022)

NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus

**Category 1:** Based upon high-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

**Category 2A:** Based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

**Category 2B:** Based upon lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

**Category 3:** Based upon any level of evidence, there is major NCCN disagreement that the intervention is appropriate.

All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise noted.

Clinical trials: NCCN believes that the best management for any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

Overview

Pain is one of the most common symptoms associated with cancer. Pain is defined by the International Association for the Study of Pain as an unpleasant, multidimensional, sensory, and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or is described in relation to such damage. Cancer pain or cancer-related pain is distinct from pain experienced by patients without malignancies. Pain occurs in approximately one-quarter of patients with newly diagnosed malignancies, one-third of patients undergoing treatment, and three-quarters of patients with advanced disease, and is one of the symptoms patients fear most. Unrelieved pain denies patients comfort and greatly affects their activities, motivation, interactions with family and...
friends, and overall quality of life. Mounting evidence in oncology shows that survival is linked to pain control.\textsuperscript{5}

The importance of relieving pain and the availability of effective therapies make it imperative that physicians and nurses be adept at assessing and treating cancer pain.\textsuperscript{6–8} This requires familiarity with the pathogenesis of cancer pain; pain assessment techniques; common barriers to the delivery of appropriate analgesia; and pertinent pharmacologic, anesthetic, neurosurgical, behavioral, and complementary approaches to the treatment of cancer pain.

The most widely accepted algorithm for the treatment of cancer pain was developed by the WHO.\textsuperscript{9,10} It suggests that patients with pain be started on acetaminophen or a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID). If this is not sufficient, patients should be escalated to a weak opioid, such as codeine, and then to a strong opioid, such as morphine. Although this algorithm has served as an excellent teaching tool, the management of cancer pain is considerably more complex than this 3-tiered “cancer pain ladder” suggests.

These guidelines are unique in several important ways. First, they list the principles of pain management:

- Pain management is essential for maximizing patient outcomes; mounting evidence in oncology shows that survival is linked to effective pain control.\textsuperscript{5}
- All patients must be screened for pain at each contact, and a comprehensive pain assessment must be performed if pain exists.
- The goal is to improve patient comfort, maximize function, and improve quality of life.

\textsuperscript{Text cont. on page 1004.}
Pain Definition
Pain is defined by the International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) as an unpleasant, multidimensional, sensory, and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in relation to such damage.a

Principles of Cancer Pain Management
- There is increasing evidence in oncology that survival is linked to symptom control and that pain management contributes to quality-of-life improvement.b To maximize patient outcomes, pain management is an essential part of oncologic management.
- All patients must be screened for pain at each contact. (See facing page)
- Goals of pain management are improved comfort and function.
- Comprehensive pain assessment must be performed if pain is present. (See PAIN-C*)
- Comprehensive management of pain is needed as most patients have multiple pathophysiologies.
- Analgesic therapy is done in conjunction with management of multiple symptoms or symptom clusters and the complex pharmacologic therapies that patients with cancer are generally prescribed.
- Pain intensity must be quantified and quality must be characterized by the patient (whenever possible).
- Reassessment of pain intensity must be performed at specified intervals to ensure that the analgesic therapy selected is having the maximum benefit with as few adverse effects as possible.
- Persistent cancer pain often requires treatment with regularly scheduled analgesics, and supplemental doses of analgesics are often required to manage breakthrough pain.
- A multidisciplinary team may be needed.
- Psychosocial support must be available. (See PAIN-H*)
- Specific educational material must be provided to the patient and family/caregiver. (See PAIN-I*)
- Consider the multidimensional impact of “suffering” on patients and their families and address these concerns in a culturally respectful manner.
- Optimize integrative interventions. (See PAIN-J)

See Universal Screening (facing page)

*Available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org.


Clinical trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged. All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
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**Universal Screening**

- If pain present:
  - Quantify pain intensity and characterize quality
  - See Pain Intensity Rating (PAIN-A*)
  - Severe uncontrolled pain is a medical emergency and should be responded to promptly

- If no pain:
  - Screen for pain
  - Rescreen at each subsequent visit

- Anticipated painful events and procedures:
  - See Procedure-Related Pain and Anxiety (PAIN-B)

**Assessment**

- Comprehensive pain assessment (See PAIN-C*) in order to identify
  - Pain etiology
  - Pain pathophysiology
  - Specific cancer pain syndrome (See PAIN-D*)
  - Patient goals for comfort and function

**Management of Pain**

- Pain not related to an oncologic emergency:
  - See Management of Pain in Opioid-Naive Patients (PAIN-3)

- Pain related to an oncologic emergency:
  - Opioid-naive patients:
    - Bone fracture or impending fracture of weight-bearing bone
    - Epidural metastases
    - Leptomeningeal metastases
    - Infection
    - Obstructed or perforated viscus (acute abdomen)

  - Analgesics as specified by above pathway in addition to specific treatment for oncologic emergency (eg, surgery, steroids, radiation therapy [RT], antibiotics)

- Painful events and procedures:
  - See Procedure-Related Pain and Anxiety (PAIN-B)

- Pain Rating 0-3 (See PAIN-6)

- Pain Rating ≥4 (PAIN-5)

---

**Notes:**

6. Opioid-naive includes patients who are not chronically receiving opioid analgesic on a daily basis and therefore have not developed significant tolerance. The FDA identifies tolerance as receiving at least 60 mg of morphine daily, at least 30 mg of oxycodone daily, or at least 8 mg of oral hydromorphone daily or an equianalgesic dose of another opioid for a week or longer.

7. Opioid-tolerant includes patients who are chronically receiving opioid analgesic on a daily basis. The FDA identifies tolerance as receiving at least 60 mg of morphine daily, at least 30 mg of oxycodone daily, or at least 8 mg of oral hydromorphone daily or an equianalgesic dose of another opioid for a week or longer.

*Available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org.
### PAIN INTENSITY

**See Pain Intensity Rating (PAIN-A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain Level</th>
<th>MANAGEMENT OF PAIN IN OPIOID-NAÏVE PATIENTS&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Severe pain 7-10 | - For opioid principles, prescribing, titration, and maintenance, see PAIN-E*  
- Anticipate and treat analgesic adverse effects (See PAIN-F*)  
- Consider adding adjuvant analgesics (See PAIN-G*) for specific pain syndrome (See PAIN-D*)  
- Provide psychosocial support (See PAIN-H*)  
- Provide patient and family/caregiver education (See PAIN-I*)  
- Optimize integrative interventions (See PAIN-J)  
- Consider nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) or acetaminophen (See PAIN-K*)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain Level</th>
<th>MANAGEMENT OF PAIN IN OPIOID-NAÏVE PATIENTS&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Moderate pain 4-6 | - Rapidly titrate short-acting opioid, see PAIN-4 for initiating short-acting opioids  
- Begin bowel regimen (See PAIN-F*)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain Level</th>
<th>MANAGEMENT OF PAIN IN OPIOID-NAÏVE PATIENTS&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Mild pain 1-3 | - For opioid principles, prescribing, titration, and maintenance, see PAIN-E*  
- Consider titrating short-acting opioid (See PAIN-E*)  
- Begin bowel regimen (See PAIN-F*)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain Level</th>
<th>MANAGEMENT OF PAIN IN OPIOID-NAÏVE PATIENTS&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| For ALL levels of pain | Reevaluate pain at each contact and as needed to meet patient goals for comfort and function  
See Ongoing Care (PAIN-7)  

*Available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org.

<sup>2</sup>Opioid-naïve includes patients who are not chronically receiving opioid analgesic on a daily basis and therefore have not developed significant tolerance. The FDA identifies tolerance as receiving at least 60 mg of morphine daily, at least 30 mg of oral oxycodone daily, or at least 8 mg of oral hydromorphone daily or an equianalgesic dose of another opioid for a week or longer.

Clinical trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged. All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
INITIATING SHORT-ACTING OPIOIDS IN OPIOID-NAIVE PATIENTS

Monitor for acute and chronic adverse effects. (See Management of Opioid Adverse Effects PAIN-F*)

Opioid-Naïve Patients

Initial Dose

Pain score unchanged or increased

Increase dose by 50%–100%

Subsequent Dose

After 2-3 cycles, consider IV titration and/or see PAIN-6 for subsequent management and treatment

Oral (peak effect 60 min)

Dose 5-15 mg oral short-acting morphine sulfate or equivalent (See PAIN-E*)

Reassess efficacy and adverse effects at 60 min

Pain score decreased to 4-6

Repeat same dose

Pain score decreased to 0-3

Continue at current effective dose as needed over initial 24 h

See Subsequent Pain Management, Mild Pain 0-3 (PAIN-6)

Intravenous bolus (peak effect 15 min) or patient-controlled analgesia (PCA)

Dose 2-5 mg intravenous morphine sulfate or equivalent (See PAIN-E*)

Reassess efficacy and adverse effects at 15 min

Pain score decreased to 4-6

Repeat same dose

Pain score decreased to 0-3

Continue at current effective dose as needed over initial 24 h

See Subsequent Pain Management, Mild Pain 0-3 (PAIN-6)

*Available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org.

Opioid-naïve includes patients who are not chronically receiving opioid analgesic on a daily basis and therefore have not developed significant tolerance. The FDA identifies tolerance as receiving at least 60 mg of morphine daily, at least 30 mg of oral oxycodone daily, or at least 8 mg of oral hydromorphone daily or an equianalgesic dose of another opioid for a week or longer.

Subcutaneous can be substituted for intravenous; however, peak effect subcutaneously is usually 30 min.
### Management of Pain in Opioid-Tolerant Patients

Monitor for acute and chronic adverse effects. (See Management of Opioid Adverse Effects, PAIN-F*)

#### Opioid-Tolerant Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Dose</th>
<th>Subsequent Dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oral (peak effect 60 min)</td>
<td>Pain score unchanged or increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous bolus (peak effect 15 min) or PCA</td>
<td>Pain score decreased to 4–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administer oral opioid dose equivalent to 10%–20% of total opioid taken in the previous 24 h (See PAIN-E*)</td>
<td>Pain score decreased to 4–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administer IV opioid dose equivalent to 10%–20% of the total opioid taken in the previous 24 h (See PAIN-E*)</td>
<td>Pain score decreased to 0–3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PAIN-5**

---

**PAIN-6**

**PAIN-7**

**PAIN-8**

---

*Available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org.*

---

Opioid-tolerant includes patients who are chronically receiving opioid analgesic on a daily basis. The FDA identifies tolerance as receiving at least 60 mg of morphine daily, at least 30 mg of oral oxycodone daily, or at least 8 mg of oral hydromorphone daily or an equianalgesic dose of another opioid for a week or longer.

Subcutaneous can be substituted for intravenous; however, peak effect subcutaneously is usually 30 min.

Not including transmucosal fentanyl dose.

---

Clinical trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged. All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
DOPAMINORECEPTORS

**PAIN INTENSITY**

See Pain Intensity Rating (PAIN-A*)

**SUBSEQUENT PAIN MANAGEMENT**

For ALL pain levels
- For persistent pain, initiate regular schedule of opioid with rescue dose as needed
- Continue management of constipation (See PAIN-F*)
- Provide psychosocial support (See PAIN-H*)
- Provide patient and family/caregiver education (See PAIN-I*)
- Optimize integrative interventions (See PAIN-J)

Severe pain 7-10
- See management for all pain levels above AND
- Reevaluate opioid titration (See PAIN-E*)
- Reevaluate working diagnosis with a comprehensive pain assessment (See PAIN-C*)
- Consider specific pain syndrome problems (See PAIN-D*)
- Consider pain specialty consultation (See PAIN-L*)
- Reevaluate adjuvant analgesics as indicated (See PAIN-G*)

Moderate pain 4-6
- See management for all pain levels above AND
- Continue opioid titration (See PAIN-E*)
- Consider specific pain syndrome problems (See PAIN-D*)
- Consider pain specialty consultation (See PAIN-L*)
- Continue adjuvant analgesic titration (See PAIN-G*)

Mild pain 0-3
- See management for all pain levels above AND
- Reassess and modify regimen to minimize adverse effects (See PAIN-E* and PAIN-F*)
- Adjuvant analgesics as needed (See PAIN-G*)

**GOALS OF TREATMENT**

Achieved
- See Ongoing Care (PAIN-7)

Not achieved
- Reevaluate patient’s goals of comfort and function at each contact
- See Universal Screening and Assessment (PAIN-2)
- Consider pain management specialty consultation for interventional strategies (PAIN-M) or other treatments
- Consider palliative care consultation (See NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology [NCCN Guidelines] for Palliative Care*)

*Available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org.
†To view the most recent version of these guidelines, visit NCCN.org.

OADPAMINORECEPTORS

**PAIN-6**

Opioid-tolerant includes patients who are chronically receiving opioid analgesic on a daily basis. The FDA identifies tolerance as receiving at least 60 mg of morphine daily, at least 30 mg of oral oxycodone daily, or at least 8 mg of oral hydromorphone daily or an equianalgesic dose of another opioid for a week or longer.
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Clinical trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged. All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

*Available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org.
†To view the most recent version of these guidelines, visit NCCN.org.
PROCEDURE-RELATED PAIN AND ANXIETY

- Anticipate and offer analgesic and anxiolytic therapy for procedures that are frequently accompanied by pain and/or anxiety.
- Events that are expected to cause discomfort to the patient such as diagnostic and therapeutic procedures (e.g., wound care, IV, arterial line, central line, injection, manipulation, bone marrow aspiration, lumbar puncture, skin biopsy, bone marrow biopsy, radiation procedure), as well as transportation/change in position for patient’s with incident pain, merit pretreatment with an analgesic intervention.

- Providing information regarding all of the analgesic techniques described below prior to the procedure is ideal as it allows the patient and family/caregiver the time they may need to assimilate all of the information, ask questions, and master the techniques while reducing anticipatory anxiety.

- Intervention may be multimodal and potentially include one or more of the following as appropriate.
  - **Analgesics**
    - Supplemental doses of analgesics should be given in anticipation of procedure-related pain.
    - If procedure or transportation precludes continuation of IV PCA, give the prescribed IV bolus dose immediately before procedure/transport and administer a subcutaneous dose equivalent to 2-h basal infusion rate.
    - Additional analgesics and/or local anesthetics should be available immediately for further titration as needed.
  - **Anxiolytics**
    - Anxiolytics should be given preemptively when feasible.
  - **Local anesthetics** such as:
    - Topical local anesthetics creams (containing lidocaine, prilocaine, or tetracaine) applied to intact skin with sufficient time for effectiveness as per package insert.
    - Subcutaneous administration of lidocaine with a 27-gauge needle.
  - Administration of sedatives/analgesics/general anesthesia by trained personnel.
  - Integrative interventions for relief of pain and/or anxiety (See PAIN-J).

---


PAIN-B
INTEGRATIVE INTERVENTIONS

Consider integrative interventions in conjunction with pharmacologic interventions as needed. Integrative interventions may be especially important in vulnerable populations (e.g., frail, elderly, pediatric) in whom standard pharmacologic interventions may be less tolerated or based on patient preference. The utility of integrative interventions underscores the necessity for pain management to be carried out with a team approach that contains a wide range of treatment options.

Pain likely to be relieved or function improved with physical, cognitive, or interventional modalities:

- Physical modalities
  - Bed, bath, and walking supports
  - Positioning instruction
  - Physical therapy
  - Energy conservation, pacing of activities
  - Massage
  - Heat and/or ice
  - Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS)
  - Acupuncture or acupressure
  - Ultrasonic stimulation
- Cognitive modalities
  - Imagery/hypnosis
  - Distraction training
  - Relaxation training
  - Active coping training
  - Graded task assignments, setting goals, pacing, and prioritizing
  - Cognitive behavioral training
- Spiritual care (See NCCN Guidelines for Distress Management†)
- See Intervventional Strategies (PAIN-M)

†To view the most recent version of these guidelines, visit NCCN.org.

Clinical trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged. All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
INTEGRATIVE INTERVENTIONS

Consider integrative interventions in conjunction with pharmacologic interventions as needed. Interventions may be especially important in vulnerable populations (e.g., frail, elderly, pediatric) in whom standard pharmacologic interventions may be less tolerated or based on patient preference. The utility of interventions underscores the necessity for pain management to be carried out with a team approach that contains a wide range of treatment options.

INTerventional consultation

Major indications for referral:
- Pain likely to be relieved with nerve block (e.g., pancreas/upper abdomen with celiac plexus block, lower abdomen with superior hypogastric plexus block, intercostal nerve, peripheral/plexus nerve)
- Failure to achieve adequate analgesia and/or the presence of intolerable adverse effects (may be handled with intraspinal agents, blocks, spinal cord stimulation, or destructive neurosurgical procedures)

- Commonly used interventional procedures:
  - Regional infusions (requires infusion pump)
    - Epidural: easy to place, requires large volumes and an externalized catheter; for infusions of opioids, local anesthetics, and clonidine, useful for acute postoperative pain
    - Intrathecal: easy to internalize to implanted pump; for infusions of opioids, local anesthetics, clonidine, and ziconotide
    - Regional plexus: for infusions of local anesthetics, to anesthetize single extremity
  - Percutaneous vertebroplasty/kyphoplasty
  - Neurodestructive procedures for well-localized pain syndromes (spinal analgesics are used more frequently)
    - Head and neck: peripheral nerve block
    - Upper extremity: brachial plexus neurolysis
    - Thoracic wall: epidural or intrathecal, intercostal, or dorsal root ganglion neurolysis
    - Upper abdominal pain (visceral): celiac plexus block, thoracic splanchnicectomy
    - Midline pelvic pain: superior hypogastric plexus block
    - Rectal pain: intrathecal neurolysis, midline myelotomy, superior hypogastric plexus block, or ganglion impar block
    - Unilateral pain syndromes: cordotomy
    - Consider intrathecal L/S phenol block
  - Neurostimulation procedures for cancer-related symptoms (i.e., peripheral neuropathy, neuralgias, complex regional pain syndrome)
  - Radiofrequency ablation for bone lesions

PAIN-M

1Infection, coagulopathy, very short or lengthy life expectancy, distorted anatomy, patient unwillingness, medications that increase risk for bleeding (e.g., antiangiogenesis agents such as bevacizumab), or technical expertise is not available.
• Comprehensive management of pain is needed, because most patients have multiple pathophysiologies of pain.
• Analgesic therapy must be administered in conjunction with the management of multiple symptoms or symptom clusters and the complex pharmacologic therapies that patients with cancer are generally prescribed.
• Pain intensity must be quantified, and quality must be characterized by the patient (whenever possible). These guidelines base therapeutic decisions on a numerical value assigned to the severity of the pain.
• Reassessment of pain intensity must be performed at specified intervals to ensure that the therapy selected is having the maximum benefit with as few adverse effects as possible.
• Persistent cancer pain often requires treatment with regularly scheduled analgesics with supplemental doses of analgesics provided as needed to manage breakthrough pain.
• A multidisciplinary team may be needed for comprehensive pain management.
• Given the multifaceted nature of cancer pain, the use of integrative interventions inclusive of physical and cognitive modalities must be optimized.
• Psychosocial support must be made available to patients.
• Specific educational material must be provided to patients and family/caregivers.
• The experience of pain has been associated with suffering. The multidimensional impact of “suffering” on patients and their families must be considered, and these concerns must be addressed in a culturally respectful manner.

Second, these guidelines acknowledge the range of complex decisions faced in the management of these patients. As a result, they provide dosing guidelines for opioids, nonopioid analgesics, and adjuvant analgesics. They also provide specific suggestions for titrating and rotating opioids, escalation of opioid dosage, management of opioid adverse effects, and when and how to proceed to other techniques/interventions for the management of cancer pain.

Pathophysiologic Classification of Cancer Pain Syndromes

Different types of pain occur in patients with cancer. Several attempts have been made to classify pain according to different criteria. Pain classification includes differentiating between pain associated with tumor, pain associated with treatment, and pain unrelated to either. Acute and chronic pain should also be distinguished when deciding what therapy to use. Therapeutic strategy depends on the pain pathophysiology, which is determined through patient examination and evaluation. Pain has 2 predominant mechanisms of pathophysiology: nociceptive and neuropathic.11,12

Nociceptive pain is the result of injury to somatic and visceral structures and the resulting activation of nociceptors. Nociceptors are present in skin, viscera, muscles, and connective tissues. Nociceptive pain can further be divided into somatic and visceral pain.13 Pain described as sharp, well-localized, throbbing, and pressure-like is probably somatic nociceptive pain, and often occurs after surgical procedures or from bone metastasis. Visceral nociceptive pain is often described as more diffuse, aching, and cramping, and is secondary to compression, infiltration, or distension of abdominal or thoracic viscera.

Neuropathic pain results from injury to the peripheral or central nervous system (CNS). This type of pain might be described as burning, sharp, or shooting. Examples of neuropathic pain include pain from spinal stenosis or diabetic neuropathy, as an adverse effect of chemotherapy (eg, vincristine) or radiation therapy, or from surgical injury to the nerves.

Comprehensive Pain Assessment

A comprehensive evaluation is essential to ensure proper pain management. Failure to adequately assess pain frequently leads to poor pain control. Therefore, it is important to find the cause of the pain and identify optimal therapies.

These guidelines begin with the premise that all patients with cancer should be screened for pain during the initial evaluation, at regular follow-up intervals, and whenever new therapy is initiated. If pain is present on a screening evaluation, the pain intensity must be quantified by the patient (whenever possible). Because pain is inherently subjective, patient self-reports are the current standard of care for assessment. Intensity of pain should be quantified...
The NCCN Adult Cancer Pain Panel recommends monitoring risk factors for aberrant use or diversion of pain medication, which must be identified during initial screening using tools, such as the SOAPP-R ( Screener and Opioid Assessment for Patients with Pain-Revised) or ORT (Opioid Risk Tool). The SOAPP was developed to predict which patients being considered for long-term opioid therapy may exhibit aberrant medications behaviors in the future. SOAPP-R is a revised version of the SOAPP. Similar to the SOAPP-R, the ORT assesses the risk of aberrant behaviors when patients are prescribed opioid medication for chronic pain, with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity for determining which individuals are at risk for opioid abuse. SOAPP-R and ORT discriminate between high-risk and low-risk patients. A high-risk score on the SOAPP-R or ORT correlates with an increased likelihood of drug abuse.

The end point of comprehensive pain assessment is to diagnose the origin and pathophysiology (somatic, visceral, or neuropathic) of the pain. Treatment must be individualized based on clinical circumstances and patient wishes, with the goal of maximizing function and quality of life.

Management of Adult Cancer Pain

For management of cancer-related pain in adults, the algorithm distinguishes 3 levels of pain intensity, based on a 0 to 10 numerical value obtained using a numerical or the pictorial rating scale (with 0 being no pain and 10 being the worst pain). The 3 levels of pain intensity listed in the algorithm are mild pain (1–3), moderate pain (4–6), and severe pain (7–10).

It is important to separate pain related to an oncologic emergency from pain not related to an oncologic emergency.

The algorithm also distinguishes pain that is unrelated to oncologic emergencies in patients not chronically taking opioids (opioid-naïve) from the pain experienced by those who have previously taken or are chronically taking opioids for cancer pain (opioid-tolerant), and also from anticipated procedure-related pain and anxiety.

According to the FDA, “patients considered opioid tolerant are those who are taking at least: 60 mg oral morphine/day, 25 mcg transdermal fentanyl/hour, 30 mg oral oxycodone/day, 8 mg oral hydro-
morpine/day, 25 mg oral oxymorphone/day, or an equianalgesic dose of another opioid for one week or longer.” Therefore, patients who do not meet the definition of opioid-tolerant and who have not had opioid doses at least as much as those stated for a week or more are considered opioid-naive.

**Management of Pain Related to Oncologic Emergency**

An oncologic emergency is defined as a life-threatening event directly or indirectly related to a patient’s cancer or its treatment. Pain related to an oncologic emergency includes pain from bone fracture or impending fracture of weight-bearing bone; epidural or leptomeningeal metastases seen in patients with advanced adenocarcinomas; pain related to infection; or obstructed or perforated viscus. Pain associated with oncologic emergency should be treated directly during treatment of the underlying condition.

**Management of Pain Not Related to Oncologic Emergency in Opioid-Naïve Patients**

For all patients experiencing pain, care providers should provide psychosocial support and begin educational activities. Psychosocial support is needed to ensure that patients encountering common barriers to appropriate pain control (eg, fear of addiction or side effects, inability to obtain opioids) or needing assistance in managing additional problems (eg, depression, rapidly declining functional status) receive appropriate aid. The patient and the family/caregiver must be educated regarding pain management and related issues.25,26 Patients should be reevaluated at each contact and as needed to meet their goals for comfort and function.

Although pharmacologic analgesics, including nonopioids (eg, NSAIDS, acetaminophen), opioids, and adjuvant analgesics (eg, antidepressants, anticonvulsants, topical agents, corticosteroids), are the cornerstone of cancer pain management, they are not always adequate and are associated with many adverse effects. Thus, they often necessitate the implementation of additional therapies or treatments. Optimal use of nonpharmacologic integrative interventions (physical, cognitive modalities, and spiritual) may be a valuable addition to pharmacologic interventions.

Opioid-naïve patients (those who are not chronically receiving opioids on a daily basis) experiencing severe pain (ie, pain intensity rating 7–10) should receive rapid titration of short-acting opioids (see “Opioid Prescriptions, Titration, and Maintenance,” page 1010). Short-acting formulations have the advantage of rapid onset of analgesic effect. The route of opioid administration (oral vs intravenous) is decided based on what is best suited to the patient’s ongoing analgesic needs.

Several adverse effects are potentially associated with the use of opioid analgesics. Management of these common opioid-induced adverse effects should begin simultaneously with the initiation of opioid therapy. Opioid-induced bowel dysfunction should be anticipated and treated prophylactically with a stimulating laxative to increase bowel motility, with or without stool softeners as indicated.27 Addition of adjuvant analgesics for specific pain syndromes should be considered for all groups of patients. Adjuvant analgesics are drugs used to enhance the effects of opioids or NSAIDs.28

The pathways for opioid-naïve patients, whose pain intensity is moderate with a rating between 4 and 6 at presentation, are similar to those for patients with a pain intensity of 7 to 10. One of the main differences is that treatment begins with slower titration of short-acting opioids.

Opioid-naïve patients experiencing mild pain intensity (pain intensity rating, 1–3) should receive treatment with nonopioid analgesics, such as NSAIDs or acetaminophen, or treatment with consideration of slower titration of short-acting opioids.

Patients with chronic persistent pain controlled by stable doses of short-acting opioids should be provided with round-the-clock extended release or long-acting formulation opioids, with provision of a rescue dose to manage breakthrough or transient exacerbations of pain. The rescue dose is usually equivalent to 10% to 20% of the total daily dose given every hour as needed. Opioids with a rapid onset and short duration are preferred as rescue doses. The repeated need for rescue doses per day may indicate the need to adjust the baseline treatment.

**Management of Pain Not Related to Oncologic Emergency in Opioid-Tolerant Patients**

Opioid-tolerant patients are those chronically taking opioids for pain relief. To achieve adequate analgesia in opioid-tolerant patients who are experiencing breakthrough pain of intensity rating 4 or greater or a pain intensity less than 4 but whose goals of pain control and function are not met, the previous 24-hour total oral or intravenous opioid requirement must
be calculated and the new “rescue” dose must be increased by an opioid dose equivalent to 10% to 20% of the total opioid taken in the previous 24 hours. 29,30

Efficacy and adverse effects should be assessed every 60 minutes for orally administered opioids, and every 15 minutes for intravenous opioids, to determine a subsequent dose. On assessment, if the pain score remains unchanged or is increased, administration of 50% to 100% of the previous rescue dose of opioid is recommended. If the pain score decreases to 4 to 6, the same dose of opioid should be repeated and reassessment performed at 60 minutes for orally administered opioids and every 15 minutes for intravenously administered opioids. If the pain score remains unchanged on reassessment after 2 to 3 cycles of the opioid in patients with moderate to severe pain, changing the route of administration from oral to intravenous or alternate management strategies can be considered. If the pain score decreases to 0 to 3, the current effective dose of either oral or intravenous opioid should be administered as needed over an initial 24 hours before proceeding to subsequent management strategies.

**Management of Procedure-Related Pain and Anxiety**

Procedure-related pain represents an acute short-lived experience that may be accompanied by a great deal of anxiety. Procedures reported as painful include bone marrow aspirations; wound care; lumbar puncture; skin and bone marrow biopsies; and intravenous, arterial, and central line injections and manipulations. Much of the data available on procedure-related pain are from studies on pediatric patients with cancer, which are then extrapolated to adults.

Interventions to manage procedure-related pain should take into account the type of procedure, the anticipated level of pain, and other individual patient characteristics such as age and physical condition. The interventions may be multimodal and may include pharmacologic and/or nonpharmacologic approaches. Supplemental doses of analgesics should be given in anticipation of procedure-related pain. Anxiolytics are drugs used for the treatment of anxiety and its related psychologic and physical symptoms. Anxiolytics should be given preemptively for control of procedure-related anxiety when feasible.

Local anesthetics can be used to manage procedure-related pain with sufficient time for effectiveness, as per package inserts. Examples of local anesthetics include lidocaine, prilocaine, and tetracaine. Physical approaches such as cutaneous warming, laser or jet injection, and ultrasound may accelerate the onset of cutaneous anesthesia. Sedatives may also be used. However, deep sedation and general anesthesia must be performed only by trained professionals. In addition, use of nonpharmacologic interventions may be valuable in managing procedure-related pain and anxiety. The major goal of nonpharmacologic interventions that include physical and cognitive modalities is to promote a sense of control, thereby increasing hope and reducing the feeling of helplessness experienced by many patients with pain from cancer.

Patients usually tolerate procedures better when they know what to expect. Therefore, patients and family members/caregivers should receive written instructions for managing the pain. Preprocedure patient education on procedure details and pain management strategies is essential. Patients and family members/caregivers should receive written information regarding pain management options.

**Subsequent Management of Cancer Pain**

Subsequent treatment is based on the patient’s continued pain rating score. Approaches for all pain intensity levels must include administration of regular doses of opioids, with rescue doses as needed and management of constipation, coupled with psychosocial support and education for patients and their families.

If the pain at this time is severe, unchanged, or increased, the working diagnosis must be re-evaluated and comprehensive pain assessment performed. For patients unable to tolerate dose escalation of their current opioid because of adverse effects, an alternate opioid must be considered. Addition of adjuvant analgesics should be re-evaluated to either enhance the analgesic effect of the opioids or, in some cases, counter the adverse effects associated with the opioids. 27 Optimal use of nonpharmacologic integrative interventions (physical, cognitive modalities, and spiritual) may serve as valuable additions to pharmacologic interventions. Given the multifaceted nature of cancer pain, additional interventions for specific cancer pain syndromes and specialty consultation must be considered to provide adequate analgesia. In patients experiencing moderate pain intensity of 4 to 6 and adequate analgesic relief on the current opioid, the current titration of the opioid should be assessed to determine if a subsequent dose is needed. If the pain score at this time is unchanged, or is increased, administration of 50% to 100% of the previous rescue dose of opioid is recommended. If the pain score decreases to 0 to 3, the current effective dose of either oral or intravenous opioid should be administered as needed over an initial 24 hours before proceeding to subsequent management strategies.
may be continued or increased. In addition, similar to patients experiencing severe pain, addition of adjuvant analgesics, additional interventions for specific cancer pain syndromes, and specialty consultation must be considered.

In patients experiencing mild pain and adequate analgesic relief but intolerable or unmanageable adverse effects, the analgesic dose may be reduced by 25% of the current opioid dose. Addition of adjuvant analgesics may be considered.

Ongoing Care
Although pain intensity ratings will be obtained frequently to evaluate opioid dose increases, a formal reevaluation to assess patient goals of comfort and function is mandated at each contact.

If an acceptable level of comfort and function has been achieved for the patients and 24-hour opioid requirement is stable, the panel recommends converting to an extended-release oral medication (if feasible) or other extended-release formulation (eg, transdermal fentanyl). Subsequent treatment is based on the patient’s continued pain rating score. Rescue doses of the short-acting formulation of the same long-acting drug may be provided during maintenance therapy to manage pain in patients not experiencing relief with extended-release opioids.

Routine follow-up of inpatients should be performed during each outpatient contact, or at least each day, depending on patient conditions and institutional standards.

System-related barriers include cost of analgesics and a lack of access to/availability of analgesics, particularly in minority neighborhoods or for patients who are poor. Studies have documented the inequalities that persist because those with financial burdens or minorities have less access to pain treatment. The panel recommends addressing these system barriers.

Patients must be provided with a written follow-up pain plan, including prescribed medications. It is important to ensure that the patient has adequate access to prescribed medications and maintains communication and coordination of care with a pain specialist and relevant providers, especially during transitions between sites of care. Which clinician will be prescribing the patient’s ongoing care should be clarified with the patient. Equally important is monitoring for the use of analgesics as prescribed, especially in patients with risk factors for or history of abuse.

If an acceptable level of comfort and function has not been achieved, universal screening and assessment must be performed and additional strategies for pain relief considered.

Pharmacologic Interventions
Opioids and Miscellaneous Analgesics
Selecting an Appropriate Opioid: When starting therapy, attempts should be made to determine the underlying pain mechanism and diagnose the pain syndrome. Optimal analgesic selection will depend on the patient’s pain intensity, any current analgesic therapy, and concomitant medical illnesses. An individual approach should be used to determine opioid starting dose, frequency, and titration to achieve a balance between pain relief and medication adverse effects.

In a patient who has not been exposed to opioids in the past, morphine is generally considered the standard preferred starting drug. Oral administration is the preferred route. An initial oral dose of 5 to 15 mg of oral short-acting morphine sulfate or equivalent is recommended for opioid-naïve patients. Patients presenting with severe pain needing urgent relief should be treated with parenteral opioids, usually administered intravenously or subcutaneously. If given parenterally, the equivalent dose is one-third of the oral dose. An initial dose of 2 to 5 mg of intravenous morphine sulfate or equivalent is recommended for opioid-naïve patients.

Pure agonists (eg, morphine, oxycodone, oxymorphone, fentanyl) are the most commonly used medications in the management of cancer pain. The short half-life opioid agonists (eg, morphine, hydromorphone, fentanyl, oxycodone) are preferred, because they can be more easily titrated than the long half-life analgesics (methadone and levorphanol).

Fentanyl is a highly lipid soluble opioid that can be administered via the parenteral, spinal, transdermal, transmucosal, buccal, and intranasal routes. Transdermal fentanyl is not indicated for rapid opioid titration and should only be recommended after pain is controlled by other opioids in opioid-tolerant patients. It is usually the preferred treatment for patients who are unable to swallow, those with poor tolerance to morphine, and those with poor compliance. Conversion from intravenous fentanyl to transdermal fentanyl can be accomplished effectively using a 1:1 conversion ratio. Transmucosal fentanyl may be considered in
Because of its long half-life, high potency, and interindividual variations in pharmacokinetics, methadone should be started at doses lower than calculated and slowly titrated upward, with provision of adequate short-acting breakthrough pain medications during the titration period. The dosing ratio between methadone and morphine or other opioids, and conversion from another opioid to methadone, is not simple. Studies show that outpatient initiation and rotation to methadone can be successfully performed in patients with cancer without serious adverse effects. The panel cautions and advises practitioners to consult a pain management specialist if they are unfamiliar with methadone prescribing or if individual patient considerations necessitate very rapid switching to or from methadone.

Evidence suggests that high doses of methadone (≥120 mg) may lead to QTc prolongation and torsades de pointes, which if uncorrected may lead to sudden cardiac death. Oral methadone is commonly used to treat cancer pain, and the average dosing seems to be much lower than is used to treat opioid dependency and chronic nonmalignant pain. A recent study conducted in patients with cancer suggests that QT interval changes exist commonly at baseline and are not changed with the addition of methadone. However, physicians initiating methadone should be aware of the drug interactions. The NCCN Adult Cancer Pain Panel recommends a baseline and follow-up echocardiogram for patients treated with methadone doses greater than 100 mg/d, those with cardiac disease, and in those taking other medications also known to prolong QTc (including tricyclic antidepressants). QTc of 450 or greater may indicate the need to reduce or discontinue the methadone dose.

Methadone use should be initiated by physicians with experience and expertise in its use. Patients and their families may need to be educated about analgesic utility of methadone. Some may only be familiar with methadone use for maintenance of addiction and be unaware of its utility as a potent opioid analgesic.

Selecting Miscellaneous Analgesics: Tramadol is a weak opioid receptor agonist with some norepinephrine and serotonin reuptake inhibition used for mild to moderate pain. Tramadol should be avoided in patients receiving selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) or tricyclic antidepressants. In a double-blind study of cancer patients, tramadol produced

- Methadone is commercially available in multiple-strength oral tablets or oral solution. Individual variations in methadone pharmacokinetics (long half-life ranging from 8 to >120 hours) make its use very difficult in patients with cancer. Because of its long half-life, high potency, and interindividual variations in pharmacokinetics, methadone should be started at doses lower than calculated and slowly titrated upward, with provision of adequate short-acting breakthrough pain medications during the titration period. The dosing ratio between methadone and morphine or other opioids, and conversion from another opioid to methadone, is not simple. Studies show that outpatient initiation and rotation to methadone can be successfully performed in patients with cancer without serious adverse effects. The panel cautions and advises practitioners to consult a pain management specialist if they are unfamiliar with methadone prescribing or if individual patient considerations necessitate very rapid switching to or from methadone.

- Evidence suggests that high doses of methadone (≥120 mg) may lead to QTc prolongation and torsades de pointes, which if uncorrected may lead to sudden cardiac death. Oral methadone is commonly used to treat cancer pain, and the average dosing seems to be much lower than is used to treat opioid dependency and chronic nonmalignant pain. A recent study conducted in patients with cancer suggests that QT interval changes exist commonly at baseline and are not changed with the addition of methadone. However, physicians initiating methadone should be aware of the drug interactions. The NCCN Adult Cancer Pain Panel recommends a baseline and follow-up echocardiogram for patients treated with methadone doses greater than 100 mg/d, those with cardiac disease, and in those taking other medications also known to prolong QTc (including tricyclic antidepressants). QTc of 450 or greater may indicate the need to reduce or discontinue the methadone dose.

- Methadone use should be initiated by physicians with experience and expertise in its use. Patients and their families may need to be educated about analgesic utility of methadone. Some may only be familiar with methadone use for maintenance of addiction and be unaware of its utility as a potent opioid analgesic.

Selecting Miscellaneous Analgesics: Tramadol is a weak opioid receptor agonist with some norepinephrine and serotonin reuptake inhibition used for mild to moderate pain. Tramadol should be avoided in patients receiving selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) or tricyclic antidepressants. In a double-blind study of cancer patients, tramadol produced
more adverse effects, including vomiting, dizziness, and weakness, when compared with hydrocodone and codeine.86 Tramadol is available as immediate-release and extended-release formulations. The panel recommends a maximum daily dose of 400 mg (100 mg 4 times daily) for adults with normal hepatic and renal function. Lower doses are recommended for older adults (age ≥75 years) and those with hepatic and/or renal dysfunction, to reduce the risk of seizures. Even at a maximum dose of 400 mg/d, tramadol is less potent than other opioids and is considered to be approximately one-tenth as potent as morphine.69

Tapentadol is a new opioid that binds to the µ-opioid receptor and inhibits norepinephrine reuptake.70,71 It is available as extended-release and immediate-release formulations and is used to treat moderate to severe pain. Typical doses would start at 50 to 100 mg orally every 4 hours as needed, with a maximal daily dose of 500 mg/d (if using the extended-release formulation) or 600 mg/d (if using the immediate-release formulation only), because of the lack of published data regarding higher doses. Comparative phase II through III studies have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of tapentadol compared with placebo and oxycodone for non–cancer-related pain.72–74

Some data suggest that tapentadol may be associated with a lower incidence of gastrointestinal adverse effects than oxycodone.72 To date, no randomized trial evaluating the efficacy of tapentadol is available in patients with cancer. The first study reporting data from patients with cancer pain was a small, prospective, open-label study with 50 opioid-naïve patients with cancer pain, 39 of whom completed the entire study.75 Results of the study showed that compared with placebo, tapentadol at a dosage of 100 mg/d was well tolerated and effective in decreasing pain intensity from baseline and improving quality of life.75

Transdermal buprenorphine, a partial µ-opioid agonist, has been approved for chronic pain. Although experience with this drug in the management of cancer pain is limited, anecdotal reports, a few small prospective uncontrolled studies, and at least one randomized trial support its use in cancer-related pain.76 Studies of buprenorphine suggest that it exhibits a ceiling to analgesic efficacy, thereby limiting its use in palliative care.77 It may precipitate withdrawal symptoms if administered to individuals currently taking a high-dose opioid. FDA guidelines recommend limiting the dose to a maximum of 20 µg/h because of concern for QT prolongation.

Ketamine is a noncompetitive N-methyl D-aspartate receptor antagonist that blocks glutamate.78 Low (subanesthetic) doses produce analgesia and modulate central sensitization, hyperalgesia, and opioid tolerance. Only limited data are available regarding the use of ketamine as an adjuvant to opioids for the management of cancer pain. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial found no significant difference between the outcomes of patients treated with ketamine versus placebo.79

The following agents are not recommended for patients with cancer: 1) mixed agonist-antagonists (eg, butorphanol, pentazocine), 2) meperidine, and 3) placebos. Mixed agonist-antagonists should not be used in combination with opioid agonist drugs for cancer pain management. Converting from an agonist to an agonist-antagonist could precipitate the abstinence syndrome (a withdrawal crisis) in patients who are physically dependent on a pure opioid agonist. Meperidine is contraindicated for chronic pain, especially in patients with impaired renal function or dehydration, because accumulation of metabolites that are cleared renally may result in neurotoxicity (seizures) or cardiac arrhythmias.80 Use of placebo in the treatment of pain is unethical.

Selecting a Route of Administration: The least-invasive, easiest, and safest route of opioid administration should be provided to ensure adequate analgesia.

Oral is the preferred route of administration for chronic opioid therapy.29,80,81 The oral route should be considered first in patients who can take oral medications unless a rapid onset of analgesia is required or the patient experiences adverse effects associated with oral administration. Continuous parenteral infusion, intravenous administration, or subcutaneous administration is recommended for patients who cannot swallow or absorb opioids enterally. Opioids, given parenterally, may produce fast and effective plasma concentrations compared with oral or transdermal opioids. The intravenous route is considered for faster analgesia because of the short lag time between injection and effect (peak, 15 minutes) compared with oral dosing (peak, 60 minutes).82 The subcutaneous route has a slower onset and lower peak (30 minutes) effect compared with the intravenous route.

Opioid Prescription, Titration, and Maintenance: The appropriate dose of opioid is based on the patient’s pain intensity and their goals and avoids causing undesirable and unmanageable adverse drug effects.
The physicians should be aware of potential drug-drug and drug-disease interactions while determining the treatment plan. The patient’s goals and quality of life should also be considered when modifying the treatment plan.

The following methods of ongoing analgesic administration are widely used in clinical practice: “around the clock,” “as needed,” and “patient-controlled analgesia.” For most patients, dosing should be used for continuous pain relief. Additional doses of opioid may be required for pain not relieved by a regular schedule of long-acting (eg, extended-release) opioid.

The panel recommends considering opioid rotation if pain is inadequately controlled or if persistent adverse effects from current therapy occur. Other indications for switching to a different opioid include out-of-pocket costs and limitations based on insurance formularies.

For patients who have intermittent pain with pain-free intervals, opioids are administered on an as-needed basis. The as-needed method is also used when rapid dose titration is required. The patient-controlled analgesia technique allows a patient to control a device that delivers a bolus of analgesic on demand (according to, and limited by, parameters set by a physician).

Breakthrough pain is defined as pain that fails to be controlled or breaks through a regimen of a regularly scheduled opioid. It may be further categorized as incident pain that is associated with specific activities or events, potentially managed with rescue doses of short-acting opioid given in anticipation of those events; end-of-dose failure pain that recurs toward the end of a dosing interval for a regularly scheduled opioid, potentially managed by increasing the dose or frequency of the regularly scheduled opioid; and uncontrolled persistent pain that is routinely uncontrolled by an existing regularly scheduled opioid, potentially managed by adjusting the dose of the regularly scheduled opioid.

The panel also recommends monitoring for aberrant medication drug-related behaviors over the course of treatment using tools such as COMM (Current Opioid Misuse Measure). The COMM tool helps clinicians identify whether a patient, currently on long-term opioid therapy, is exhibiting aberrant behaviors associated with misuse of opioid medications. It examines concurrent misuse; in contrast, SOAPP-R or ORT is helpful in predicting which patients being considered for long-term opioid therapy may exhibit aberrant medications behaviors in the future.

**Initiating Short-Acting Opioids in Opioid-Naïve Patients:** The route of administration of opioid (oral or intravenous) must be selected based on the needs of the patient. These guidelines provide guidance for initiating short-acting opioids in opioid-naïve and opioid-tolerant patients.

For opioid-naïve patients experiencing pain intensity of greater than or equal to 4, or a pain intensity less than 4 but whose goals of pain control and function are not met, an initial dose of 5 to 15 mg of oral morphine sulfate or 2 to 5 mg of intravenous morphine sulfate or equivalent is recommended. Efficacy and adverse effects should be assessed every 60 minutes for orally administered opioids and every 15 minutes for intravenous opioids to determine a subsequent dose. If the pain score remains unchanged or increases, the panel recommends increasing the dose by 50% to 100% of the previous dose of opioid to achieve adequate analgesia. If the pain score decreases to 4 to 6, the same dose of opioid is repeated and the patient is reassessed at 60 minutes for orally administered opioids and every 15 minutes for intravenously administered opioids. If inadequate response is seen in patients with moderate to severe pain on reassessment after 2 to 3 cycles of the opioid, changing the route of administration from oral to intravenous or subsequent management strategies can be considered. If the pain score decreases to 0 to 3, the current effective dose of opioid is administered as needed over an initial 24 hours before proceeding to subsequent management strategies.

**Opioid Adverse Effects:** Several adverse effects are associated with the use of opioid analgesics. Constipation, nausea and vomiting, pruritus, delirium, respiratory depression, motor and cognitive impairment, and sedation are fairly common, especially when multiple agents are used. Each adverse effect requires a careful assessment and treatment strategy. Management of opioid-induced adverse effects is integral to opioid pain management.

Constipation can almost always be anticipated with opioid treatment, and patients do not develop tolerance to this adverse effect. Therefore, administration of a prophylactic bowel regimen is recommended. However, little evidence exists on which to base the selection of the most appropriate prophylactic bowel regimen.
lactic bowel regimen. One study has shown that addition of a stool softener, such as docusate, to the laxative, sennosides, was less effective than administering the laxative alone. Therefore, for prophylaxis, the panel recommends a stimulant laxative with or without a stool softener or a capful of polyethylene glycol (PEG) with 8 oz of water 2 times daily, along with maintaining adequate fluid intake. Although maintaining adequate dietary fiber intake is recommended, supplemental medicinal fiber, such as psyllium, is ineffective and unlikely to reduce opioid-induced constipation.

Once constipation develops, the cause and severity of constipation must be assessed to rule out obstruction. Stool softeners or laxatives may be titrated as needed, with the goal of achieving one nonforced bowel movement every 1 to 2 days. Adjuvant analgesic may be considered to allow reduction of the opioid dose.

If constipation persists, the cause and severity of constipation must be assessed again to rule out bowel obstruction or impaction. Adding stimulant laxatives, such as magnesium-based products, bisacodyl (available in tablets or suppositories), or osmotic laxatives (eg, sorbitol, lactulose, PEG), may be helpful. Opioid rotation to fentanyl or methadone may be considered. Prokinetic agents such as metoclopramide enhance gastric antral contractility and may be useful in managing persistent constipation. However, chronic use of metoclopramide may be limited because of concern for neurologic complications, including tardive dyskinesia. Enema with fleet, saline, or tap water may be helpful because it dilates the bowel, stimulates peristalsis, and lubricates the stool to encourage a bowel movement. When response to laxative therapy has not been sufficient in patients with advanced illness, methylaltrexone, an opioid antagonist that works on receptors in the gastrointestinal system and is given subcutaneously, can be used as a rescue when constipation is clearly related to opioid therapy. Neuraxial analgesics, neuroablative techniques, or other interventions to decrease pain and/or reduce systemic opioid dose may also be considered to reduce the adverse effects.

For patients with a prior history of opioid-induced nausea, prophylactic treatment with antiemetic agents is highly recommended. If nausea develops, other causes of nausea (eg, constipation, CNS pathology, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, hypercalcemia) must be assessed. Effective agents that may be considered include benzodiazepines, such as prochlorperazine or thiethylperazine, or dopamine receptor antagonists, such as metoclopramide or haloperidol. If nausea persists despite an as-needed regimen, antiemetics should be administered around the clock for 1 week, and then dosing changed as needed. When managing opioid-induced persistent nausea, rather than replacing one antiemetic with another, it may be helpful to add therapies that target different mechanisms of action, resulting in a synergistic effect. Adding serotonin receptor antagonists such as granisetron or ondansetron may be helpful. Corticosteroids can also be beneficial for reducing opioid-induced nausea and vomiting, and in particular have been found to be effective in combination with metoclopramide and ondansetron. If nausea persists for longer than a week, the cause of nausea must be reassessed and opioid rotation considered.

Pruritus or itchiness is a particularly common and distressing complaint, occurring in 10% to 50% of patients receiving opioids. Even in the presence of attentive skin care, opioids can produce recalcitrant pruritus. If pruritus develops, other causes must be first assessed, such as use of any other medication. Pruritus is more likely to occur early in the course of treatment. Antihistamines such as diphenhydramine or promethazine may be beneficial. If pruritus persists, changing to another opioid should be considered if symptomatic management has failed. Opioid antagonists have also proven useful in the management of patients whose pruritus is not relieved by antihistamines. Mixed agonist/antagonists (eg, nalbuphine) can be used to treat opioid-induced pruritus. The µ-opioid receptor antagonists (eg, naloxone) are also used to reverse opioid-induced adverse effects, and careful dose titration can produce relief without reversing analgesic efficacy.

Sedation may hinder the achievement of dose titration of opioids to levels that provide adequate analgesia. If opioid-induced sedation develops and persists for more than a week, it may be managed by administration of psychostimulants such as or methylphenidate, dextroamphetamine, or modafinil, or by adding caffeine. When using CNS stimulants for sedation, the dosing should be limited to morning and early afternoon to avoid nighttime insomnia.
Delirium is a pathophysiologic condition characterized by altered consciousness and inattention, cognitive dysfunction, and disturbed psychomotor behavior. Delirium may be treated with various interventions, such as adding a neuroleptic drug such as haloperidol, olanzapine, or risperidone, or switching to another opioid.\textsuperscript{108}

Studies have shown that stable doses of opioids (>2 weeks) are not likely to interfere with psychomotor and cognitive function, but these functions should be monitored during analgesic administration and titration.\textsuperscript{109}

Respiratory depression is another adverse effect feared by physicians and patients. Physicians should be aware that patients with limited cardiopulmonary reserve are more susceptible and that hypercarbia occurs before hypoxia. Naloxone remains a useful antidote for the reversal of opioid-induced respiratory and CNS depression, but it should be administered cautiously so as not to precipitate acute opioid withdrawal syndrome in opioid-tolerant patients.

The details of prophylactic regimens and other measures to prevent opioid-induced adverse effects are provided in “Management of Opioid Adverse Effects,” available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org (PAIN-F).

**Opioid Rotation:** No single opioid is optimal for all patients.\textsuperscript{110} If opioid adverse effects are significant, an improved balance between analgesia and adverse effects might be achieved through changing to an equivalent dose of an alternative opioid. This approach is known as opioid rotation.\textsuperscript{94,111} Relative effectiveness is important to consider when switching between oral and parenteral routes to avoid subsequent overdosing or underdosing. Equianalgesic dose ratios, opioid titration and maintenance, and clinical examples of converting from one opioid to another are listed in “Opioid Principles, Prescribing, Titration, Maintenance, and Safety,” available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org (PAIN-E).

**Opioids and Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy:** Although opioids are the principal analgesics for managing moderate to severe pain, they pose risks to patients and society. Opioid abuse is an increasing concern. In the United States, poisoning is now the leading cause of death from injuries, and 89% of poisonings are related to drugs. In 2008, of the 36,500 drug poisoning deaths, 14,800 (40%) involved opioid analgesics, compared with 5100 cocaine-related deaths and 3000 heroin-related deaths.\textsuperscript{112} Although it is important to ensure that opioids continue to be prescribed for patients for whom they are appropriate, it is also essential to ensure that these drugs are prescribed carefully. To reduce addiction, misuse, abuse, overdose, and death, the FDA is establishing Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) programs for all potent opioid products.\textsuperscript{113} The principal recommendations of opioid REMS programs are to educate the provider, patient, and family/caregiver.

The highlights of provider responsibilities included in the REMS are:
- Establishing goals of opioid analgesic therapy for each patient and regularly evaluating therapeutic opioid response to guide further therapy
- Evaluating each patient for risk factors associated with opioid misuse or abuse
- Educating each patient on safe use, storage, and disposal of opioid
- Routinely monitoring patients for opioid misuse or abuse

The REMS programs are currently in place for all transmucosal fentanyl and transdermal buprenorphine.\textsuperscript{114,115} The REMS for fentanyl products require a patient-prescriber agreement that involves patient education. In July 2012, the FDA mandated the development of REMS for all extended-release and long-acting opioids. The complete list of currently approved REMS is available on the FDA Web site.\textsuperscript{91} It is expected that drug manufacturers of all extended-release and long-acting opioids will meet the REMS requirement by providing educational grants for accredited entities to provide continuing education programs to prescribers. All prescribers are encouraged to discuss the risks and benefits of these products with their patients. A patient counseling document approved with the REMS will be made available by the manufacturers to assist the prescribers in these discussions.

**Additional Pharmacologic Therapies for Cancer Pain Syndromes**

Opioids alone may not provide optimal therapy, but when used in conjunction with nonopioid analgesics (such as NSAIDs) or adjuvant analgesics (antidepressants, anticonvulsants, topical agents, and corticosteroids) along with psychological and physical approaches, they can help improve patient outcomes.\textsuperscript{27}
Adult Cancer Pain

**Adjuvant Analgesics for Neuropathic Pain:** The term *adjuvant* refers to medications that are coadministered to manage an adverse effect of an opioid or to analgesics that are added to enhance analgesia. These drugs can be helpful for patients whose pain is only partially responsive to opioids.

Clinically, adjuvant analgesics consist of a diverse range of drug classes, including anticonvulsants, antidepressants, corticosteroids, and local anesthetics/topical agents.

Adjuvant analgesics are commonly used to help manage bone, neuropathic, and visceral pain and to reduce systemic opioid requirement. They are particularly important in treating neuropathic pain. Extrapolating from studies conducted in neuropathic pain, tricyclic antidepressants are believed to provide relief from neuropathic pain in patients with noncancer conditions. Several antidepressants are known inhibitors of hepatic drug metabolism via inhibition of cytochrome P450 enzymes, especially CYP2D6. Tamoxifen is an estrogen receptor blocker commonly used in patients with hormone receptor–positive breast cancer. Tamoxifen undergoes extensive hepatic metabolism, and inhibition of CYP2D6 decreases production of tamoxifen active metabolites, potentially limiting tamoxifen efficacy. Clinical studies indicate increased risk of breast cancer recurrence in tamoxifen-treated patients with breast cancer also treated with SSRI antidepressants versus those receiving tamoxifen alone. If concomitant use of an SSRI is required in a patient receiving tamoxifen, use of a mild CYP2D6 inhibitor (sertraline, citalopram, venlafaxine, escitalopram) may be preferred over a moderate-to-potent inhibitor (paroxetine, fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, bupropion, duloxetine).

The most commonly used anticonvulsant drugs for the treatment of cancer pain are gabapentin and pregabalin. They have been studied primarily in noncancer neuropathy syndromes. Gabapentin has been reported to reduce mucositis pain in patients receiving concomitant radiotherapy and chemotherapy. A review of cancer trials found that adjuvant analgesics (antidepressants and antiepileptics) added to opioids provide additional neuropathic pain relief.

Topical local anesthetic agents are useful in preventing procedural pain and relieving neuropathic pain. They act locally and are also thought to have some central inhibitory effect on pain. They may be used as an analgesic in combination with an opioid, antidepressant, and/or anticonvulsant. Topical agents include lidocaine or diclofenac patch. Both the gel and patch forms of lidocaine have been shown to reduce the pain of postherpetic neuropathy and cancer-related pain.

Corticosteroids have long been used to relieve neuropathic pain syndromes. Corticosteroids have also been effective for treating bone pain because of their anti-inflammatory effects, and for relieving malignant intestinal obstruction.

**Nonopioid Analgesics:** The nonopioid analgesics include NSAIDs and acetaminophen.

Acetaminophen is analgesic and antipyretic but not anti-inflammatory. Recently, attention has been drawn towards the relative limited efficacy and significant adverse effects of acetaminophen, particularly hepatic and renal toxicity. This concern is compounded by the inclusion of acetaminophen in a variety of prescription opioid preparations (eg, hydrocodone, codeine) as well as in a wide selection of over-the-counter products. Because of concerns about liver toxicity, the panel advises that acetaminophen should be used with caution or not used at all with combination opioid-acetaminophen products to prevent excess acetaminophen dosing.

The FDA believes that limiting the amount of acetaminophen per tablet, capsule, or other dosage unit in prescription products will reduce the risk of severe liver injury from acetaminophen overdosing, or an adverse event that could lead to liver failure, liver transplant, or death. To reduce the risk of severe liver injury from acetaminophen overdosing, the FDA recently announced that it is asking “manufacturers of prescription acetaminophen combination products to limit the maximum amount of acetaminophen in these products to 325 mg per tablet, capsule, or other dosage unit.” The drug companies will have 3 years from the date of publication of the Federal Register Notice (January 14, 2011) to limit the amount of acetaminophen in their prescription drug products to 325 mg per dosage unit. The FDA is requiring a new boxed warning to communicate the risk of severe liver injury associated with acetaminophen to health care professionals. In addition, the companies are required to add a new warning about the risk of allergic reactions, including anaphylaxis, to the label of all prescription acetaminophen-containing products.
NSAIDs produce analgesia by blocking the biosynthesis of prostaglandins, inflammatory mediators that initiate, cause, intensify, or maintain pain. History of peptic ulcer disease, advanced age (>60 years), male sex, and concurrent corticosteroid therapy should be considered before NSAID administration to prevent upper gastrointestinal tract bleeding and perforation. Well-tolerated proton pump inhibitors are recommended to reduce gastrointestinal adverse effects induced by NSAIDs.

NSAIDs should be prescribed with caution in patients older than 60 years or in the presence of compromised fluid status, renal insufficiency, concomitant administration of other nephrotoxic drugs, and renally excreted chemotherapy to prevent renal toxicities. The addition of NSAIDs to opioids has the potential benefit of reducing the opioid dose when sedation, cognitive function, or other CNS effects of opioid analgesic therapy become burdensome.

In patients at high risk for cardiac toxicities, such as those with a history of cardiovascular disease or at risk for cardiovascular disease or complications, NSAIDs taken with prescribed anticoagulants, such as warfarin or heparin, may significantly increase the risk of bleeding complications. NSAIDs should be discontinued if congestive heart failure or hypertension develops or worsens. Naproxen and ibuprofen are preferred NSAIDS for individuals at high risk for cardiac toxicities.

The NSAID and acetaminophen prescribing guidelines are listed in the algorithms under Non-Opioid Analgesic (Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs [NSAIDS] and Acetaminophen) Prescribing, available online, in these guidelines, at NCCN.org (PAIN-K).

**Management of Bone Pain Without an Oncologic Emergency:** The clinical complications of bone metastases include debilitating bone pain, which tends to be most prominent with movement, pathologic fractures, spinal cord compression, neurologic complications, and hypercalcemia of malignancy. The term skeletal related events (SREs) refers to a constellation of skeletal complications, including fracture, need for surgery to bone, need for radiation to bone, and spinal cord compression, and, in some situations, includes hypercalcemia of malignancy. Although bone-modifying agents (bisphosphonates and denosumab) are primarily used to reduce overall SREs, clinical trials have established that bisphosphonates have an analgesic effect on patients with metastatic bone pain from a variety of tumors. Because of differences in patient populations and the methods for assessing bone pain, direct comparison of bisphosphonates to determine their relative effects on bone pain across studies is difficult.

Surgical and radiation treatment for bone metastases is performed to relieve local bone pain, provide stabilization, and prevent impending fracture or spinal cord compression. In some situations, surgery (eg, vertebroplasty/kyphoplasty) provides a greater likelihood of return to ambulatory status than radiation alone. Identification of patients who have impending fractures and are referred to an orthopedic specialist for stabilization before fracture is important for optimal surgical pain management.

Consultation with an interventional pain specialist is recommended to determine the optimal management strategy for vertebral augmentation.

**Management of Pain From Bowel Obstruction:** Malignant bowel obstruction is a common complication in patients with abdominal or pelvic cancers. The initial management of patients presenting with bowel obstruction includes evaluation of the cause of the obstruction. Although surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy are the primary palliative treatments for malignant bowel obstruction, patients with advanced disease or poor general condition who are unfit to undergo these therapies may require other palliative measures to relieve distressing symptoms, such as bowel rest, nasogastric suction, corticosteroids, and/or octreotide (see NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology for Palliative Care; to view the most recent version of these guidelines, visit NCCN.org).

**Specialty Consultations**

Continued pain ratings should be obtained and documented in the medical record to ensure that the patient’s pain remains under good control and goals of treatment are achieved. Specialty consultations can be helpful in providing interventions to assist with difficult cancer pain problems. The major indication for referral to a specialty service provider is when the pain is likely to be relieved with the consultation or if an intervention will help patients become functional in their daily activities. These interventions are delivered by a specialty service provider, and pain management is accomplished by establish-
ing individualized goals and then providing specific treatment and education for patients. The specialties include physical/occupational therapy; psychosocial supportive services; psychiatric consultation; pain and palliative care services; substance abuse consultation if questions/concerns about medication misuse or diversion exist; depression/distress consultation; spiritual care consultation; or social work services.

**Nonpharmacologic Interventions for Cancer Pain Management**

**Integrative Interventions**

Because pain encompasses physical, psychosocial, and spiritual dimensions, the treatment of cancer pain inherently requires integration of therapies inclusive of cognitive-behavioral interventions.

Nonpharmacologic integrative interventions (physical, cognitive, and spiritual) may serve as valuable additions to pharmacologic interventions. Physical measures include massage, use of heat or cold, acupuncture, and acupressure. Cognitive interventions are aimed at enhancing a sense of control over the pain or underlying disease. Breathing exercises, relaxation, imagery/hypnosis, and other behavioral therapies can be very useful. Attention should also be focused on psychosocial support and providing education to patients and families. All of these can greatly enhance patients’ sense of control and greatly reduce the family/caregivers’ feeling of helplessness. A meta-analysis of the effect of psychosocial interventions on cancer pain highlights the importance of a multimodal approach to the management of cancer pain. The integration of physical, psychosocial, and spiritual modalities should also be based on assessment of cultural factors. In cancer care, increasing attention has been given to spiritual needs and the existential concerns often associated with pain. Many patients hold cultural beliefs about these treatments, and home remedies, rituals, prayer, and other spiritual practices may be most helpful in relieving or coping with pain for some. Involvement of chaplains and other spiritual care providers is essential. Spiritual needs should be routinely assessed and spiritual care should be incorporated as a component of comprehensive pain management.

Patient-based educational interventions have a significant impact in providing pain relief. Skills training helps modify the patient’s experience of pain and helps them acquire techniques for pain management, such as deep muscle relaxation. Education teaches patients and family/caregivers how to use analgesics correctly and how to address side effects or unrelieved pain.

**Interventional Strategies**

Some patients experience inadequate pain control despite pharmacologic therapy or may not tolerate an opioid titration program because of side effects. Some patients may prefer interventional therapies instead of a chronic medication regimen. Interventional techniques have been shown in some cases to eliminate or significantly reduce the level of pain, and/or may allow a significant decrease in systemic analgesics.

Interventional therapies, including nerve blocks, vertebroplasty, kyphoplasty, and other techniques, can be useful in the relief of cancer pain. The major indications for referral for interventional therapies include pain that is likely to be relieved with nerve block (eg, pancreas/upper abdomen with celiac plexus block, lower abdomen with superior hypogastric plexus block, intercostal nerve, peripheral/plexus nerve) and/or inability to achieve adequate analgesia and/or the presence of intolerable side effects. For example, a patient with pancreatic cancer who was not tolerating opioids or not receiving adequate analgesia could be offered a neurolytic celiac plexus block. Neurolytic celiac plexus block may offer some improvement in pain control over systemic analgesics, but is generally associated with a reduction in adverse effects.

Several interventional strategies are available if a patient does not experience adequate analgesia. Regional infusion of analgesics (epidural, intrathecal, and regional plexus) is one of the approaches. This approach minimizes the distribution of drugs to receptors in the brain, potentially avoiding adverse effects of systemic administration. The intrathecal route of opioid administration should be considered in patients with intolerable sedation, confusion, and/or inadequate pain control with systemic opioid administration. This approach is a valuable tool to improve analgesia for patients who have pain from a variety of anatomic locations (eg, head and neck, upper and lower extremities, trunk).

Percutaneous kyphoplasty and vertebroplasty might be useful for the treatment of lytic osteoclastic spinal metastases or in cases of vertebral compres-
sion fractures or spinal instability for which surgery is not feasible or indicated. Vertebroplasty/kyphoplasty helps restore mechanical stability while reducing pain and neurologic symptoms.\textsuperscript{160–165}

Neurodestructive procedures may be used for well-localized pain syndromes (eg, back pain from facet or sacroiliac joint arthropathy; visceral pain from abdominal or pelvic malignancy).

Neurostimulation procedures have been suggested to be useful for painful chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathies, neuralgias, and complex regional pain syndrome.\textsuperscript{166}

Radiofrequency ablation for bone lesions has proven successful in pain management, especially in those not achieving adequate analgesia without intolerable effects.\textsuperscript{167,168}

Interventional strategies listed earlier are not appropriate if patients are unwilling or in those with infections, coagulopathy, or very short life expectancies. Furthermore, the experts performing the interventions must be made aware of any medications that the patient is taking that might increase bleeding risk (eg, anticoagulants [warfarin, heparin], antiplatelet agents [clopidogrel, dipyridamole], or antiangiogenesis agents [bevacizumab]). If this occurs, the patient may need to be off the medication for an appropriate amount of time before the pain intervention and may need to stay off the medication for a specified amount of time after the procedure. Interventions are not appropriate if technical expertise is not available.

Summary

In most patients, cancer pain can be successfully controlled with appropriate techniques and safe drugs. The overall approach to pain management encompassed in these guidelines is multimodal and comprehensive. It is based on routine pain assessments, uses both pharmacologic and nonpharmacologic interventions, and requires ongoing reevaluation of the patient. The NCCN Adult Cancer Pain Panel advises that cancer pain can be well controlled in most patients if the algorithms presented are systematically applied, carefully monitored, and tailored to the needs of the individual patient.
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