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Abstract
The serrated neoplastic pathway accounts for approximately 30% of colorectal cancers. Characterized by mutations in the oncogene BRAF, DNA promoter hypermethylation, and microsatellite instability, cancers arising in this pathway develop via serrated polyp intermediates. Serrated polyps represent a heterogeneous group of lesions with distinct genetic, molecular, and clinical features. Sessile serrated polyps (also called sessile serrated adenomas) have emerged as the key intermediates in this pathway. These lesions have malignant potential and are often difficult to detect endoscopically, thus contributing to the development of interval cancers. Recent advances in the understanding of sessile serrated polyps have led to new histologic classifications, increased endoscopic recognition, and changes in clinical management recommendations. This article focuses on sessile serrated polyps as a unique and important route to colorectal cancer. (JNCCN 2013;11:1585–1594)

Classification of Serrated Polyps
Traditionally, colorectal polyps were broadly classified into adenomatous (neoplastic with malignant potential) and non-neoplastic (without malignant potential), which included hyperplastic and hamartomatous polyps. In the early 1990s, hyperplastic polyps began to be recognized as a diverse group of lesions, each with distinct pathologic features. Histologic subsets began to be defined within the general category of what is now called serrated polyps. Serrated polyps are characterized by a saw-tooth or serrated glandular pattern that is hypothesized to occur because of the lack of apoptosis of dividing cells within the colonic crypt. As these cells proliferate without apoptotic clearing, they fold onto each other, and this infolding produces the classic serrated appearance. Although these relatively newly recognized lesions have had many nomenclature iterations, the WHO has provided definitions of serrated polyps to provide a more consistent recognition, with classification into 3 major groupings: hyperplastic polyps (HPs), sessile serrated adenomas (SSAs) or sessile serrated polyps (SSPs), and traditional serrated adenomas (TSAs). The terms SSA and SSP are synonymous, and experts have opted to use sessile serrated adenoma/polyp (SSA/P) to avoid confusion between the terms. This convention will be used throughout this article.

HPs are characterized by straight, symmetrical crypts that tend to have a wide crypt opening relative to the base, because the proliferation and serrations predominate at the top of the crypt (Figure 1A). HPs are further subdivided by WHO classification into microvesicular, goblet cell, and mucin-poor. Microvesicular HPs are believed to be an initial lesion in the serrated pathway to cancer. HPs are the most common serrated polyp and are commonly located in the distal colon and rectum. Longacre and Fenoglio-Preiser first recognized a subset of hyperplastic polyps with dysplasia as a distinct form of colorectal neoplasia. The concept of this atypical variant of nondysplastic hyperplastic polyp (SSA/P) was further developed and elucidated by Torlakovic et al. SSA/Ps demonstrate a distorted and disorganized crypt growth. Although proliferating cells may be seen anywhere along the crypt axis, the base of the crypt often contains a hyperproliferative zone that results in asymmetrical serrations and oddly shaped structures at the base (Figure 1B). The crypts may appear dilated or branched, and thus have been referred to as L-shaped,
T-shaped, or boot-like. Mucin and goblet cells are also often overrepresented at the base of the crypts. A retrospective review of large lesions that were previously called HPs shows that most of these polyps would now be called SSA/Ps according to the new nomenclature. HPs and SSA/Ps are often difficult to distinguish, and there is considerable interobserver variability among pathologists.

SSA/Ps may be further classified according to the presence of dysplasia (Figure 1C). SSA/Ps may have a focus of conventional adenoma-like dysplasia, which represents malignant progression. These lesions were previously called mixed polyps or collision polyps because they were thought to have been a coexistence of 2 separate entities, rather than a progression of disease, as is now supported. Conventional adenomatous dysplastic areas in SSA/Ps have a similar cytologic appearance to classic tubular or tubulovillous adenomas. They have elongated cells with increased mitoses, hyperchromatic pseudostratified nuclei, and amphophilic cytoplasm. Expert opinion suggests that SSA/Ps with cytologic dysplasia be considered to have at least the same colorectal cancer (CRC) risk as adenomas with high-grade dysplasia. Another form of dysplasia can be seen in SSA/Ps, termed serrated dysplasia, which is characterized by proliferation of atypical cuboidal cells with increased mitoses and enlarged, prominent round nucleoli. Although this concept is still not completely understood, some believe that this type of dysplasia represents advanced neoplasia and progression toward malignancy.

TSAs have a more extensive proliferative zone at the base of the crypt and into the surrounding lateral compartment. These are often perpendicular to the long axis of the adenomatous portion. The most characteristic feature of TSAs is the loss of anchorage of the normal crypts to the muscularis mucosa. They have distinct adenomatous portions and malignant potential. TSAs are rare lesions and account for only 1% of all colon polyps and 2% of all serrated lesions.

Genetic and Molecular Characteristics of the Serrated Pathway to CRC

CRC has a molecular and genetic heterogeneity that had not been appreciated until the past 2 decades. Most CRCs arise via the classical adenoma-to-carcinoma sequence, as proposed by Vogelstein et
al. However, approximately 25% to 30% of CRCs develop via a pathway characterized by defective DNA mismatch repair (MMR) mechanisms. Although approximately 15% of MMR-deficient CRCs are caused by a heritable germline mutation, as in Lynch syndrome, the other 85% arise sporadically secondary to loss of the MMR gene hMLH1 caused by hypermethylation of the gene promoter region, which silences its transcription. The result is a microsatellite unstable or microsatellite instability high (MSI-H) CRC. Increased hypermethylation particularly occurs in promoter areas rich in cytosine and guanine dinucleotide repeats, or CpG islands. Thus, the associated cancers have been termed CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP). CIMP CRCs are also associated with mutations in the BRAF oncogene. Current understanding of molecular subtypes of CRC supports that CIMP cancers develop via the serrated pathway to neoplasia, with serrated polyps as the intermediary lesions.

The sequence of events is still not completely characterized, but the belief is that a BRAF mutation is the initiating event, with conversion of normal mucosa to either a microvesicular hyperplastic polyp or an SSA/P. DNA hypermethylation (CIMP) of the promoter region of the mismatch repair gene MLH1 results in lack of MLH1 protein expression, and subsequently microsatellite instability. This eventually leads to cytologic dysplasia, and ultimately to colorectal adenocarcinoma (Figure 2). Genetic and molecular evidence supports SSA/Ps as the intermediary lesions. BRAF mutations (V600E) are found in microvesicular HPs, and more commonly in SSA/Ps (75%–82%), which is similar to that reported for MSI-H colon cancers. Furthermore, advanced serrated polyps often exhibit CIMP and loss of MLH1 expression. hMLH1 methylation has been detected in 36% of HPs, 70% of SSA/Ps, and 86% to 87% of sporadic MSI-H CRCs. The rate of progression through this pathway is variable, but it is believed that once microsatellite instability develops, a more rapid progression to cancer occurs. A recent review provides more detail regarding the genetic changes in serrated lesions.

Evidence also suggests an alternate serrated pathway involving KRAS mutations and microsatellite low or stable and CIMP-low CRCs. This pathway has also been associated with hypermethylation of the DNA repair gene, O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT), and TSAs. KRAS mutations are commonly seen in small hyperplastic left-sided polyps and TSAs, but are extremely rare in SSA/Ps.

**Prevalence of SSA/Ps**

As the definition and recognition of SSA/Ps has changed over time, the true prevalence of these lesions is difficult to define. Previously classified as benign hyperplastic polyps, lesions that are now called SSA/Ps were often ignored by endoscopists. Without biopsy or polypectomy to document these lesions, the prevalence of SSA/Ps has been largely underestimated and undefined. Earlier autopsy studies report that 13% to 40% of people had colorectal serrated polyps without differentiation between the various, now histologically defined serrated lesions. More recently, Hetzel et al reported an SSA/P prevalence of 1.2% on review of 7192 average-risk screening colonoscopies. Similarly, in a review from the Cleveland Clinic, an SSA/P was identified in 2.1% of 28,054 colonoscopies. In one recent study of screening colonoscopies, SSA/Ps were found in as many as 20% of patients. Approximately 1% to 9% of all colon polyps are SSA/Ps, and between 5% and 25% of all serrated lesions are SSA/Ps.
**SSA/P Clinical Significance**

Colorectal SSA/Ps are clinically relevant lesions as both markers for other colorectal neoplasia and as polyps with inherent potential for malignant transformation.

**Association With Adenomas and Cancer**

Compared with patients without SSA/Ps, those with SSA/Ps have a higher incidence of both synchronous and metachronous polyps, including HPs, other SSA/Ps, and adenomas. In particular, larger SSA/Ps located in the right colon are associated with an increased risk of synchronous and advanced adenomas. The presence of a proximal SSA/P of 10 mm or greater is associated with a 2 to 5 relative risk for advanced neoplasia. Patients with both SSA/Ps and adenomas, as opposed to those with either SSA/Ps or adenomas alone, tend to have larger and more SSA/Ps, and more advanced SSA/Ps and adenomas. Looking at this from a different perspective, patients with advanced neoplasms are 3.7 times more likely to have synchronous high-risk serrated polyps than those without advanced neoplasms.

In addition to adenomas, SSA/Ps are also associated with both synchronous and metachronous CRCs. In a study from Japan including 10,199 people without previous colonoscopy, the finding of serrated polyps was the strongest predictor of synchronous CRC. Again, the presence of large right-sided SSA/Ps was associated with synchronous CRCs. Compared with patients with HPs or adenomas alone, SSA/Ps are also associated with an increased risk of metachronous CRC. In a small study of 55 patients who had SSA/Ps, 12.5% developed metachronous CRC during a follow-up of 7.2 years. This percentage was significantly higher than among matched controls for patients with either HPs (1.8%) or adenomas (1.8%). These data underscore the importance of heightened awareness for other colorectal lesions when an SSA/P is identified.

**Malignant Potential of SSA/Ps**

Several lines of evidence support the malignant potential of SSA/Ps. Molecular markers for the serrated pathway to cancer have been identified in the precursor polyps. Additional molecular evidence is provided by study of MSI-H CRCs. MSI-H CRCs are more likely to have associated serrated polyps than microsatellite stable cancers. Goldstein et al. found that patients with previously removed SSA/Ps developed MSI-H colon cancers in the same anatomic location from which the initial polyp was removed. Conversely, patients with HPs removed in the same locations did not develop cancer.

Histologic elements of SSA/P, dysplasia, and adenocarcinoma can be seen in the same lesion (Figure 3). Lash et al. reported a series of 2416 SSA/Ps in which 14% contained cytologic dysplasia and 1% had associated adenocarcinoma. Malignant progression in these lesions is believed to contribute to the development of interval cancers. Interval cancers are those that develop within the timeframe of the next recommended colonoscopy evaluation. These instances may represent missed lesions, incomplete removal, or rapid development of new lesions. Interval cancers are 4 times more likely to be MSI-H and to have a methylator phenotype, which are both traits associated with the serrated pathway to neoplasia. Factors associated with SSA/Ps, such as right-sidedness and flat lesions, also make them more difficult to detect endoscopically and may contribute to the development of these types of interval cancers.

The main clinical question for surveillance is how rapidly do these lesions progress toward cancer? Because of the lack of large randomized prospective studies, understanding of the natural history and malignant potential of SSA/Ps remains inconclusive. One small retrospective study reported that the median interval from progression of SSA/P to adenocarcinoma was 7.3 years; 18% of cases developed within 3 years, and 27% of cancers developed between 3 and 6 years. For larger polyps, the interval between SSA/P resection and cancer diagnosis was shorter than for small polyps, suggesting that either larger polyps tend to progress more rapidly or that perhaps that the lesions were incompletely removed. In a retrospective review of successive colonoscopies, Lazarus et al. reported that SSA/Ps grew at an estimated rate of 3.8 mm/y for SSA/Ps, which was more rapid than the growth rate determined for adenomas (2.8 mm/y) and HPs (1.4 mm/y). In contrast, epidemiologic studies suggest a slower transition from SSA/P to dysplasia and cancer. In reviewing more than 2400 SSA/Ps, the average age at diagnosis for SSA/Ps was 61 years, versus 66 years for detection of SSA/Ps with low-grade dysplasia, 72 years for high-grade dysplasia, and 76 years for adenocarcinoma. Thus, according to this information, the interval...
SSA/Ps is the presence of a bronze or yellowish-brown mucous cap, as shown in Figure 4. Tadepalli et al. designed a review of high-resolution colonoscopic video clips of 158 SSA/Ps, with focus on several gross visual descriptors. The top characteristics that were found to have good-to-excellent intraobserver agreement included the presence of a mucous cap (63.9%), rim of debris or bubbles around the lesion (51.9%), alteration of the contour of a fold (37.3%), and interruption of the underlying mucosal vascular pattern (32.3%). Another feature recently cited on magnified chromoendoscopic appearance is the recognition of type II open pits, which are thought to represent dilated crypt bases that are wider and rounder than the papillary or stellate (type II) pits found in the HPs and TSAs. This finding was associated with BRAF mutations and CIMP, and is highly specific (97%) but not sensitive (66%) for SSA/Ps. Appreciation of these morphologic characteristics may help endoscopists improve SSA/P detection and thus decrease CRC development.

The difficulty in recognizing these lesions is exemplified by the variability in detection rates. Hetz et al. analyzed 4335 polyps from 7192 screening colonoscopies performed by 13 endoscopists. The detection rate per 100 colonoscopies varied from 0.3 to 2.2 for all SSA/Ps, and the prevalence of proximal colon SSA/Ps varied between endoscopists from

**Clinical Management of SSA/Ps**

**Endoscopic Detection**

Colonoscopy and polypectomy are well-known to reduce the incidence of CRC; however, they are less effective at preventing right-sided cancers. Because colon cancers arising via the serrated pathway tend to be right-sided cancers, this is particularly relevant. Perhaps the subtle appearance of SSA/Ps contributes to the lack of recognition or incomplete removal, and the remaining polyps develop into right-sided interval cancers. Thus, it is crucial that endoscopists have a heightened awareness for these lesions and familiarity with their gross appearance.

SSA/Ps are usually flat lesions with discrete or indistinct borders, making them difficult to see endoscopically. They can appear as redundant mucosa or a thickened fold with a similar color to the surrounding mucosa. Insufflation during colonoscopy will often flatten the polyp, and thus can make it appear as normal mucosa. The most distinguishing feature of
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0% to 1.4%. More strikingly, Kahi et al reported a detection rate for proximal serrated polyps ranging from 1% to 26% among 15 endoscopists. A recent report by Liang et al at Cleveland Clinic reviewed 18,003 colonoscopies performed by 6 endoscopists and reported a mean serrated polyp detection rate of 20.6% ± 4.8% for all examinations, and 13.9% ± 5.0% for screening examinations only. A regression analysis revealed a significant correlation with adenoma detection rate for screening examinations only. As might be expected, longer withdrawal time was the most important influence on detection rate. Other studies have also suggested a strong correlation between adenoma detection and serrated polyp detection rates.

Newer technologies, such as narrow band imaging and chromoendoscopy, theoretically may improve detection of serrated lesions. Further studies with particular emphasis on efficacy gained with added time and cost are needed before widespread implementation.

**SSA/P Treatment**

Because it is not possible to accurately predict the histology of lesions suspected to be serrated polyps, it is prudent to remove all polyps completely. The exception includes small lesions in the rectum and sigmoid colon that look like hyperplastic polyps. If only a few small polyps are present, then they can be easily removed technically and efficiently. If multiple or clusters of polyps are present that appear to be hyperplastic polyps, then representative biopsies should be performed, but all do not need to be removed.

The general principles of endoscopic removal of SSA/Ps are similar to those used for adenomas, and consideration should be given to size, morphology, and location of the polyp, and to the age and comorbidities of the patient. SSA/Ps tend to be flat lesions and are thus more challenging to remove than pedunculated polyps. However, because SSA/Ps tend to not have submucosal fixation, as is seen with adenomas, the tissue pulls into the snare more easily than flat adenomas, and therefore may actually be easier to remove. Defining the borders of the polyp can often be difficult, and it is important to err on the side of more tissue at the edges. This difficulty is illustrated by a study analyzing the results of endoscopic removal of nonpedunculated polyps that were believed to be completely removed. For polyps of 5 to 20 mm, 10.1% had positive margins. These findings underscore the difficulty of removing flat polyps, and that incomplete removals may lead to interval cancers.

As with all polyps, removal with a single pass of the snare is preferred to piecemeal resection. If the polyp is believed to be completely removed but was done so in piecemeal fashion, or if any question remains about the completeness of resection, repeat colonoscopy should be performed in 3 to 6 months. Aggressiveness and the extent of removal depend on the experience and judgment of the endoscopist. Elderly patients with comorbidities, bleeding risk, or a thin right colon may preclude aggressive intervention. Furthermore, large flat lesions in the cecum, on the ileocecal valve, or in the appendiceal orifice may not be safely removed because of the anatomy. If a polyp cannot be completely removed, consideration should be given to referral to another endoscopist with more experience with these lesions, or to a colorectal surgeon.

Despite the challenges of removal, large SSA/Ps can be safely resected in experienced hands. In a study of 132 large serrated polyps (≥2 cm), Liang et al presented a 4% complication rate, which was statistically similar to that associated with removing adenomas of similar size (6.9%; \( P = .376 \)). The complications included 3 episodes of bleeding and 1 episode of postpolypectomy syndrome.

**Screening and Postpolypectomy Surveillance Recommendations**

As with adenomas, characteristics of serrated polyps influence CRC risk and thus guide surveillance interval recommendations. In general, larger polyps (≥10 mm), polyps located in the proximal colon, and those with dysplasia have increased risk of developing into CRC. In contrast to adenomas, large-scale prospective longitudinal studies on the natural history of serrated polyps are limited, and recommendations have been largely based on experience and expert opinion. Current NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines) for CRC Screening (in this issue) treat SSA/Ps the same as adenomas in terms of personal history and projected CRC risk (to view subsequent updates to these guidelines, visit NCCN.org). NCCN recommends that patients with low-risk SSA/Ps (<1 cm, <3 polyps, tubular, no high-grade dysplasia) undergo repeat colonoscopy within 5 years. Advanced
size, >25% villous, or high-grade dysplasia) or multiple SSA/Ps warrant repeat colonoscopy within 3 years. Two expert groups published more detailed recommendations in 2012.2,51 The Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer presented guidelines for surveillance of all colorectal neoplasia, with an expansion on the topic of serrated polyps in the updated version.51 Another group of multispecialty physicians gathered in Cleveland, Ohio for a summit on serrated neoplasia, and produced a review and consensus statement on colorectal serrated neoplasia.2 Both groups emphasized the malignant potential of serrated polyps and recommended surveillance and treatment at least as aggressively as for adenomas. A summary of the guidelines are listed in Tables 1 and 2. Both groups admitted that a lack of high level evidence exists on which to base their guidelines, and stated that recommendations will likely evolve as longitudinal studies mature. Recommendations are based on the assumption that colonoscopy was complete and performed after an adequate bowel preparation, and that lesions were completely removed. If a polyp is removed piecemeal, particularly for large, flat, right-sided lesions, a repeat colonoscopy should be performed in 3 to 6 months to assure complete removal. The consensus panel provides a range of intervals for more-advanced SSA/Ps, because of the concern that larger SSA/Ps and SSA/Ps with cytologic dysplasia may progress quickly into adenocarcinoma.2,38,52 Surveillance intervals must be based on individual patient factors within a clinical context, including patient age, personal and family history of colorectal polyps and cancer, medical comorbidities, and the success of the intervention at that colonoscopy.

The present author believes it is better to err on the side of conservative approach; that is, perform endoscopy more regularly until more information is obtained. Given that the process underlying mucosal changes is often a global field defect, multiple examinations over time provide information regarding the overall stability of the colonic mucosa. If increasing numbers of polyps are present or if there is a rapid size increase in polyp size over a short interval, the author would be inclined to become more aggressive with treatment options or have shorter surveillance intervals. Only after long-term natural history studies are conducted and better-quality evidence is accumulated will the risk be able to be more accurately defined. Future adaptations will be made as further studies are completed.

### Serrated Polyposis Syndrome

Just as adenomas are the hallmark of familial adenomatous polyposis, serrated polyps constitute serrated polyposis syndrome. Formerly called hyperplastic polyposis syndrome because all serrated lesions were classified as HPs, the disease was recently named serrated polyposis syndrome (SPS) by the WHO.1 The change in nomenclature reflects the variety of serrated lesions seen in this syndrome, and also stresses the potential premalignant nature of certain serrated lesions. SPS is a clinical diagnosis based on WHO criteria, which are as follows:

- More than 20 serrated polyps of any size, distributed throughout the colon
- At least 5 serrated polyps proximal to the sigmoid colon, with 2 or more of these being larger than 10 mm
- Any number of serrated polyps proximal to the sigmoid colon in an individual who has a first-degree relative with SPS

SPS prevalence in the general population is difficult to determine because there is an overall lack of familiarity with the syndrome and a lack of recognition.53 Of those with recognized SPS, the CRC risk varies greatly depending on the series, but it is likely to be approximately 25%.2 Patients are inclined to

---

Table 1 Guidelines for Serrated Polyps by the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Polyp</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Surveillance Interval (y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplastic polyps in rectum or sigmoid</td>
<td>&lt;10 mm</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA/Ps</td>
<td>&lt;10 mm</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA/Ps</td>
<td>&gt;10 mm</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA/Ps with dysplasia</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSA</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA, not applicable; SPS, serrated polyposis syndrome; SSA/Ps, sessile serrated adenomas/polyps; TSA, traditional serrated adenoma.

have synchronous cancers at diagnosis, and metastatic CRC develop. In fact, the polyp phenotype may actually manifest after the initial case of CRC.  

The cancer risk is similar whether the patient has the large right-sided phenotype or the small multiple polyp phenotype. In a recent study from Spain, patients with the right-sided polyps tended to have a more significant family history of CRC. Current NCCN Guidelines for CRC Screening (in this issue) recommend that individuals with SPS undergo screening colonoscopy, with polypectomy of all polyps larger than 5 mm (to view subsequent updates to these guidelines, visit NCCN.org). Clearing all larger polyps is preferable, but not always possible. Repeat colonoscopy should be performed between 1 and 3 years, depending on polyp number and size, with a shorter interval if polyps are numerous or larger. Other expert panels recommend annual colonoscopy because of the increased CRC risk.

Although SPS is believed to have a hereditary component, no heritable genetic or molecular defect has yet been identified. The exact familial risk of SPS is unclear, and screening recommendations for family members of an individual with SPS are debated. Nearly half of patients with SPS have a family history of CRC, and one study reported an elevated relative risk of CRC of 5.4 in first-degree relatives of patients with SPS. For first-degree relatives of patients with SPS, NCCN Guidelines for CRC Screening recommend screening colonoscopy begin at age 40 years, at the same age as the diagnosis for the youngest family member if uncomplicated by cancer, or 10 years younger than the earliest age at diagnosis of CRC in the family, whichever is earliest. If no polyps are found, then repeat colonoscopy should be performed in 5 years, but more frequently if polyps are found. If multiple adenomas or proximal SSA/Ps exist, then 1- to 3-year intervals should be considered.

Although endoscopy is the cornerstone of SPS diagnosis and management, open communication with surgical colleagues is crucial, and patients must realize the increased cancer risk and the possible need for colectomy. Surgical consultation should be obtained in the following situations: development of cytologic high-grade dysplasia, inability to survey colon regularly or to adequately clear the polyp burden, or rapidly changing size or number of polyps at interval screening examinations. Surgical management usually involves total or subtotal colectomy in the medically fit patient. Any remaining colon or rectum after resection must still be surveyed annually.

Conclusions

The genetic, molecular, and clinical characteristics of the serrated pathway to neoplasia continue to be unraveled, but it is clearly established as a key player in CRC development. Distinct from the adenoma-carcinoma sequence, the serrated pathway is characterized by BRAF mutations, CIMP, and microsatellite instability. SSA/Ps, as the key intermediaries in this pathway, have malignant potential and are likely responsible for a large number of right-sided interval cancers. Endoscopists must be able to recognize and treat these lesions to help reduce CRC.
risk. Recent clinical practice guidelines recognize larger size, proximal location, and the presence of dysplasia as risk factors for developing malignancy, and thus recommend surveillance intervals based on these characteristics. Continued development of advanced endoscopic detection technology, further fine-tuning of the genetic characteristics defining malignancy, and longitudinal natural history clinical studies will undoubtedly improve future clinical management.
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